

UNIVERSITY OF GRONINGEN

PhD REGULATIONS

Version March 2026

Foreword

The current PhD regulations (PR) came into effect on 1 October 2024. After 18 months, the time had come to update specific points of the regulations.

The new regulations were developed in consultation with the University of Groningen's Doctorate Board (CvP) and the Graduate Schools. Below is a short summary of the most notable changes.

- Article 1.3: an amended provision concerning mandates. On the one hand, this article no longer reflected current practice, and on the other, it contained a number of ambiguities.
- Article 1.8: a new article on the premature termination of a PhD programme. Such a provision was previously absent from the PR.
- Article 2.2(2) PR: text amended. It is no longer exceptional to appoint four (co-)supervisors in the case of a Double Doctorate or Joint Doctorate.
- Article 4.3 PR in conjunction with Article 14.1(2) PR: the Rector Magnificus decides on deviations on behalf of the CvP.
- Article 4.7(1) PR: this power has been vested in the Dean rather than the Rector Magnificus.
- Article 4.7(3) PR: this power has been vested in the Dean rather than the Rector Magnificus.
- Article 7.1(4) PR: this power has been vested in the Dean rather than the Rector Magnificus. This has also been amended in Appendix 2 under Chapter 7.
- Appendix 5: minor amendments prompted by practical experience.
- Appendix 8: the period of 1 year has been changed to 18 months. This is in connection with patent procedures. And a further explanation regarding the embargo.

With the new PhD regulations and the accompanying appendices, the University of Groningen will have an up-to-date PhD system, which will put PhD candidates and their supervisors in an even better position to complete PhD programmes successfully.

On behalf of the Doctorate Board,
Prof. J.M.A. Scherpen,
Rector Magnificus

CONTENTS

Chapter 1.....	5
GENERAL PROVISIONS.....	5
Chapter 2	10
THE PHD CANDIDATE.....	10
Chapter 3	13
THE SUPERVISOR	13
Chapter 4	16
THE PHD THESIS.....	16
Chapter 5	19
ASSESSMENT OF THE PHD THESIS BY THE SUPERVISORS AND CO-SUPERVISORS	19
Chapter 6	20
THE ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE	20
Chapter 7.....	22
THE PHD EXAMINING COMMITTEE	22
Chapter 8	24
THE PHD CEREMONY	24
Chapter 9	25
<i>CUM LAUDE</i>	25
Chapter 10.....	27
CERTIFICATE.....	27
Chapter 11	28
THE HONORARY DOCTORATE (HONORIS CAUSA)	28
Chapter 12.....	29
DOUBLE AND JOINT DOCTORATES	29
Chapter 13.....	31
DISPUTE SETTLEMENT	31
Chapter 14.....	33
FINAL AND TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS.....	33
Appendix 1.....	34
IMPLEMENTATION REGULATIONS AS REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 2.4.2	34
Appendix 2.....	36
EXPLANATORY NOTES TO THE PHD REGULATIONS: GENERAL AND SECTION BY SECTION.....	36
Appendix 3.....	42
A FEW RELEVANT ARTICLES OF THE ACT	42
Appendix 4.....	45
PROTOCOL FOR JOINT AND DOUBLE DOCTORATES WITH FOREIGN PARTNERS	45
Appendix 5.....	48
PROTOCOL FOR THE PHD CEREMONY	48
Appendix 6.....	53
PHD TIMELINE.....	53

Appendix 7.....	55
TITLE PAGE TEMPLATE.....	55
DOUBLE DOCTORATE TITLE PAGE TEMPLATE	57
JOINT DOCTORATE TITLE PAGE TEMPLATE	59
Appendix 8.....	61
EXAMPLE LICENCE AGREEMENT.....	61
Appendix 9.....	64
PUBLICITY.....	64
Appendix 10.....	65
PROCEDURE FOR THE POSTHUMOUS AWARDED OF THE PHD DEGREE	65

Chapter 1

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Article 1.1 **Definition of terms**

The following definitions apply to these regulations:

Act/WHW	Higher Education and Research Act (de Wet op het hoger onderwijs en wetenschappelijk onderzoek) (Stb. 1992, 593 and any subsequent amendments).
Admissions Committee	The Graduate School Admissions Committee set up on behalf of the Dean to assess whether or not the prospective PhD candidate should be admitted to a PhD programme
Assessment committee	The Assessment Committee which has been appointed by the Dean on behalf of the Doctorate Board by virtue of Chapter 6 of these regulations to assess the thesis submitted by the PhD candidate
Awb	The General Administrative Law Act (<i>Algemene wet bestuursrecht</i>) which took effect on 1 January 1994 and any subsequent amendments
BBR	Administrative Regulations (<i>Bestuurs- en Beheersreglement</i>) of the University of Groningen
Board of the University	The Board of the University referred to in Articles 9.2 ff. of the Act; in these regulations, the Board of the University of Groningen
CAO-NU	The Collective Labour Agreement for Dutch Universities referred to in Article 4.5 of the WHW
Confidential advisor	A person who has been appointed by the Board of the University as a confidential advisor on matters of academic integrity
Co-supervisor	An assistant or associate professor with a PhD degree who has been appointed by the Dean on behalf of the Doctorate Board to assist the supervisor in supervising the PhD candidate
Dean	The Chair of the Faculty Board within the meaning of Article 9.12 of the Act; in these regulations, in their capacity as a member of the Doctorate Board with a mandate (see 1.2.2.)
Degree programme	A degree programme within the meaning of Article 7.3 ff. of the Act, in combination with Article 2.3.1. ff. of the BBR
Doctorate Board	The Doctorate Board referred to in Article 9.10 of the Act; in these regulations, the Doctorate Board of the University of Groningen

Faculty	A faculty of the University of Groningen within the meaning of Article 9.11 of the Act
Full professor	A post-holder referred to in Articles 9.19 and 9.55 of the Act; a regular professor, or professor by special appointment (including the professor emeritus), or an adjunct professor, or honorary professor at the University of Groningen
Graduate School	A graduate school as referred to in Chapter 2 of the BBR
Opposition	The persons mentioned in Article 7.4 of these regulations who may ask the PhD candidate questions during the PhD ceremony
PhD ceremony	The public defence of the PhD thesis on the basis of which the PhD can be obtained
PhD Examining Committee	A Committee appointed by the Dean on behalf of the Doctorate Board by virtue of Article 7.18.4 of the Act in conjunction with Chapter 7 of these regulations, in the presence of which the defence of the PhD thesis must take place
PhD programme	The programme that is drawn up depending on the PhD candidate's knowledge and experience
PhD research	The academic research on which the PhD thesis is based
PhD candidate	A person who has been given permission to start the PhD procedure in accordance with Article 7.18.2 or 3 of the Act in conjunction with Chapter 2 of these regulations, and who as such has been admitted by the Doctorate Board or the Dean to the PhD procedure
PhD thesis	An academic thesis in book form, or a (collection of) journal article or articles, in writing or in digital form, or a technological design as referred to in Article 7.18.2b of the Act in conjunction with Chapter 4 of these regulations
Rector Magnificus	The post-holder referred to in Article 9.3.1 of the Act, who is also Chair of the Doctorate Board
Regulations	The PhD regulations of the University of Groningen, within the meaning of Article 7.19 of the Act
Supervisor	The professor or associate or assistant professor with a PhD and the ius promovendi appointed as such by the Dean on behalf of the Doctorate Board by virtue of Article 7.18.4 of the Act in conjunction with Chapter 3 of these regulations
UG	University of Groningen
University	A Dutch or foreign university

Article 1.2 Composition of the Doctorate Board

1. The Rector Magnificus is the Chair and also a member of the Doctorate Board. If the Rector Magnificus is absent, a Dean appointed by the Rector can act as Deputy Chair of the Doctorate Board.
The Chair represents the Doctorate Board both within and outside the University of Groningen, both at law and otherwise.
2. At the University of Groningen, the Doctorate Board consists of the Deans of the faculties (see Article 2.6.1.3 of the University of Groningen BBR).
3. Secretarial services for the Doctorate Board are provided by the secretarial staff of the Rector Magnificus and the PhD degree registration office of the University of Groningen.

Article 1.3 Mandate/Sub-Mandate

1. In accordance with Article 7.18(1) of the Act, the Doctorate Board has the authority to award the degrees of Doctor or Doctor of Philosophy upon successful completion of a doctoral programme. In order to fulfil this statutory duty, the Doctorate Board is entrusted with the other tasks and responsibilities set out in these regulations.
2. The Doctorate Board grants the Dean of the relevant faculty the mandate to exercise the powers of the Doctorate Board within that faculty, unless otherwise provided for in these regulations.
3. The Dean shall not exercise this mandate if the Dean is personally involved in a doctoral programme as a supervisor or as a member of the assessment or PhD examining committee. In the Dean's absence, the Vice-Dean shall exercise these powers.
4. The Dean shall delegate to the members of the admissions board of the faculty's Graduate School the sub-mandate to take decisions regarding the admission of the PhD candidate to the PhD programme at that faculty.
5. The Dean of the relevant faculty may delegate tasks and powers to the Director of the Graduate School of that faculty.

Article 1.4 The PhD

1. At the University of Groningen, a PhD is obtained on the grounds of a PhD procedure, subject to the rest of the provisions of these regulations.
2. The Doctorate Board awards the PhD.
3. The defence will take place in the presence of the Doctorate Board or of a PhD Examining Committee appointed by the Doctorate Board.
4. The PhD Examining Committee referred to in Article 1.4.3 will consist of professors both from the University of Groningen and from other Dutch or foreign universities. Associate or assistant professors who are PhD holders and have been awarded the *ius promovendi* may serve as full members of the PhD Examining Committee. A maximum of two (2) associate or assistant professors who have not been awarded the *ius promovendi* may also serve as members of the Committee. The composition of the Committee is set out in more detail in Article 7.1 of these regulations.

Article 1.5 *Scope of these regulations*

The regulations of the University of Groningen will apply to all PhDs at this University and, subject to the provisions of Chapter 12 of these regulations, to PhDs which are awarded as a result of collaboration between this University and other universities elsewhere, to the extent that no different agreements were reached when this collaboration was entered into.

Article 1.6 *Duty of confidentiality*

Subject to the provisions of Article 2.5 of the AWB and what is stated about them in the CAO-NU, those present at or involved in correspondence about a PhD procedure and the matters discussed at or in connection with the non-public meetings referred to in Chapters 6, 7 and 8 and in connection with the matters discussed and the correspondence relating to awarding the *cum laude* distinction referred to in Chapter 9, and in connection with granting honorary doctorates as referred to in Chapter 11, and also with regard to the correspondence and the matters discussed in relation to the dispute settlement regulations set out in Chapter 13 of these regulations, have a duty of confidentiality.

Article 1.7 *Confidential advisor on matters of academic integrity*

1. The Netherlands Code of Conduct for Research Integrity of the Universities of the Netherlands (UNL) that came into effect in 2018 applies to PhD research.
2. The University has confidential advisors on matters of academic integrity.
3. The confidential advisors will carry out their duties in accordance with the University of Groningen Complaints Procedure Scientific Integrity 2020.

Article 1.8 *Premature termination of a PhD programme*

1. The PhD programme is terminated prematurely due to:
 - a. termination by the PhD candidate;
 - b. termination by the Dean on behalf of the Doctorate Board.
2. If the PhD candidate wishes to terminate the PhD programme, they must notify the Graduate School of the relevant faculty in writing, stating their reasons.
3. The Dean may proceed with the premature termination of the PhD programme if:
 - a. periodic assessment shows that the PhD candidate's progress is so limited that, in the opinion of the supervisor(s), the PhD candidate is not expected to be able to submit a manuscript within a reasonable period of time, or a manuscript demonstrating the ability to conduct independent research as referred to in Article 5.1, and the PhD candidate has not shown sufficient improvement
 - b. the PhD candidate has, over a period of at least six months, failed to respond to repeated written requests from the supervisor(s) to discuss the content and progress of the manuscript
 - c. the supervisor(s), the assessment committee or the PhD examination committee issue a definitive negative assessment of the thesis
 - d. the PhD candidate acts in breach of the standards of (academic) integrity
 - e. the PhD candidate, pursuant to a decision by the Board of the UG or the UMCG's Board of Directors, acts in breach of the UG's Internal Regulations and Disciplinary Measures or the UMCG's Code of Conduct, respectively.

4. The intention to take a decision to terminate the PhD programme prematurely shall be communicated to the PhD candidate in writing, stating the reasons. Following this notification, the PhD candidate shall be given the opportunity to submit a statement of views before a decision is taken.

Chapter 2

THE PHD CANDIDATE

Article 2.1 **Definition of terms**

A PhD candidate is an individual who has been admitted to the PhD procedure and has in fact embarked on writing a PhD thesis. The PhD candidate may not have previously obtained a PhD from the University of Groningen.

Article 2.2 **Finding supervisors and co-supervisors**

1. Prospective PhD candidates will discuss their PhD research with the supervisor(s) or co-supervisor(s) who is/are the most suitable in their opinion, or with the professor or associate professor or assistant professor with the *ius promovendi* who has already taken responsibility for the supervision of the PhD research, and asks them to be their (co) supervisor.
2. The request for the appointment of supervisors or co-supervisors must comply with Article 2.3.5 and must indicate the ranking order. At least two supervisors or one supervisor and one co-supervisor will be appointed. The maximum number of members in the supervising team (supervisors and co-supervisors) is three. In the event of a joint or double doctorate, an interdisciplinary PhD or a joint programme with a societal partner, a fourth supervisor or co-supervisor may be appointed.
3. If a conflict arises between one of the supervisors or co-supervisors and the PhD candidate during the PhD programme, they will refer the conflict to the director of the Graduate School, who will attempt to resolve the matter. In case no solution can be found for the conflict, the Dean decides.

Article 2.3 **Application for admission to the PhD programme**

1. The prospective PhD candidate will be admitted to the PhD procedure if the Graduate School Admissions Committee in question has, responded positively to the application for admission, in accordance with the relevant admission procedure. To be admitted, the prospective PhD candidate must at least submit a certified copy of their Master's degree certificate and a copy of their passport as well as a provisional Training and Supervision Plan. The Training and Supervision Plan must at least state the subject of the PhD thesis and the names of the supervisors and co-supervisors the PhD candidate would like the director of the Graduate School to appoint.
2. Prospective PhD candidates who do not base their application to be admitted to the PhD procedure on an examination as referred to in Article 2.4.1a, taken at the University of Groningen, must, along with their application to the Admissions Committee, submit a copy of their passport and a certified copy of a degree certificate acquired elsewhere by passing an examination within the meaning of Article 2.4.1a of these regulations, or, if they have not obtained a Master's degree as referred to in Article 2.4.1a, they must follow the procedure of the implementation regulations referred to in Article 2.4.2. A provisional Training and Supervision Plan must also be submitted.

3. The Admissions Committee will notify the prospective PhD candidate of its decision regarding the application referred to in Articles 2.3.1 and 2.3.2 as soon as possible, but within six weeks at the most. In special cases this time limit can be extended.
4. As soon as the application for admission to the PhD programme has been approved, the PhD candidate will be registered in Hora Finita preferably two years in advance of the intended PhD date, and the starting date is determined. The PhD candidate and the supervisors and co-supervisors will, in accordance with the requirements of the Graduate School, submit a fully completed Training and Supervision Plan to the Graduate School for approval by the director of the Graduate School, at the latest within three months after the start of the PhD programme, stating the subject of the PhD research project and the names of the supervisors and co-supervisors the PhD candidate wants appointed or approved, and if possible an overview of the training plan and the dates on which the result and development interviews will take place. Once the Training and Supervision Plan has been approved by the director, it will be signed by the Dean or a person mandated by the Dean. The Dean is responsible for registering the Training and Supervision Plan in Hora Finita. In addition, the PhD candidate must draw up a Research Data Management Plan within six months after the start of the PhD programme in accordance with the policy of the relevant faculty.
5. At the start of the PhD programme, PhD candidates must confirm that they will adhere to the Netherlands Code of Conduct for Research Integrity issued by the Universities of the Netherlands (UNL) in 2018 and the University of Groningen Complaints Procedure Scientific Integrity 2020.

Article 2.4 Admission to PhD procedure

1. The PhD procedure is open to everyone who:
 - a. has been awarded a Master's degree on the basis of Articles 7.10a.1, 2 or 3 of the Act, in accordance with the provisions of Article 7.18.2 of the Act, and
 - b. has demonstrated the ability to conduct independent academic research by writing a PhD thesis, and
 - c. has met the other requirements set out in these regulations.
2. In exceptional cases the Doctorate Board may admit to the PhD procedure individuals who meet the requirements set out in Articles 2.4.1b. and c., but not those set out in 2.4.1a. (see Article 7.18.3 of the Act). For this purpose, the Doctorate Board will establish implementation regulations. See Appendix 1, parts A and B, of these regulations.

Article 2.5 Responsibility for the PhD thesis

1. The PhD candidate has conducted the academic research described in the PhD thesis independently or has made, as referred to in Article 2.5.2, an essential contribution to parts of it. The PhD candidate is responsible for the PhD thesis.
2. In the case of jointly conducted academic research, the results of the research may lead to one PhD thesis co-authored by two PhD candidates, provided the following requirements have been met:
 - each of the authors has made an independent and distinguishable contribution which is sufficient for the PhD, to the satisfaction of the supervisors and co-supervisors
 - each of the authors accepts personal responsibility both for a particular part of the PhD thesis and for the coherence of the thesis as a whole
 - it is indicated in the PhD thesis which share each author had in writing it
 - each of the authors has added the required number of propositions ('stellingen' in Dutch) to the thesis as referred to in Article 4.2.

3. In the case of a PhD thesis co-authored by two PhD candidates as referred to in Article 2.5.2, the procedures and rules set out in these regulations apply for each PhD candidate separately.

Article 2.6 Collaboration with supervisors and co-supervisors

The PhD candidate will submit the manuscript to the supervisors and co-supervisors in its entirety or in sections, will make alterations as agreed, and will then submit the manuscript to the supervisors and co-supervisors for approval.

Chapter 3

THE SUPERVISOR

Article 3.1 *Appointment of supervisors*

1. Once the Dean has appointed the supervisors and co-supervisors by means of signing the Training and Supervision Plan referred to in Article 2.3, the Dean ensures that the names of the supervisors and co-supervisors are registered in Hora Finita. The supervisors appointed must include at least one professor from the University of Groningen, or an associate or assistant professor who has a PhD and has been awarded the *ius promovendi* by the UG. In addition, one or two professors or associate or assistant professors who have been awarded the *ius promovendi* from another university in the Netherlands or another country can be appointed as supervisors. The primary supervisor must be affiliated with the University of Groningen and work at the faculty where the PhD candidate conducts the research. If an intended second or third supervisor is a professor who is affiliated with a foreign university, the Dean will conduct a detailed investigation before appointing that professor.
2. If no supervisor can be found at the faculty where the PhD candidate conducts their research, the Dean may appoint a primary supervisor who is affiliated with a different faculty.

Article 3.2 *Appointment of co-supervisors*

1. At the proposal of the PhD candidate and with the consent of the supervisor(s) and the intended co-supervisor, the director of the Graduate School of the in question may appoint a co-supervisor to supervise the PhD candidate in addition to the supervisor.
2. The prospective co-supervisor must have the right to use the title ‘Doctor’ in the Netherlands or must have obtained a doctorate. In addition, from the time of their designation as co-supervisor until the dissertation is approved by the Assessment Committee, the intended co-supervisor must, in the opinion of the primary supervisor, be an expert, possess sufficient scientific skills and experience in the relevant field or fields of study, and be actively engaged in it.
3. Besides the primary supervisor, at least one supervisor or co-supervisor must have an employment agreement or a guest agreement with the University of Groningen.
4. Full professors and assistant or associate professors with the *ius promovendi* may not act as co-supervisors.
5. The proposal of the PhD candidate referred to in Article 3.2.1 must be submitted in time for the co-supervisor to provide a substantial amount of supervision for the preparations for and writing of the PhD thesis.
6. The name of the co-supervisor must be listed on the reverse side of the title page of the PhD thesis in question.

Article 3.3 *Request for new supervisor*

1. If, for whatever reason, it becomes impossible for the PhD candidate and the supervisor or co-supervisor to work together, the PhD candidate and the supervisor or co-supervisor may request the Dean to find and appoint a new supervisor or co-supervisor. This is only possible in exceptional cases and at the Dean’s discretion.

Article 3.4 *Duties of supervisors and co-supervisors*

1. The supervisors and co-supervisors are responsible for accepting the manuscript as a PhD thesis and ensure that the PhD thesis meets the academic standards that generally apply to a PhD thesis. The Dutch Qualification Framework serves as a guideline for this. The supervisors and co-supervisors are responsible for the quality of the research and the thesis, the development and the progress of the PhD candidate, and the availability of finances and facilities.
2. The supervisors and co-supervisors will supervise the PhD candidate in writing the thesis and also ensure that the deadlines that have been agreed or can be considered reasonable for the assessment and discussion of drafts submitted to them are met.
3. The supervisors will read the content of the manuscript of the PhD thesis, assess the manuscript submitted or parts of it, and consider whether it meets the requirements supervisors can set on the basis of their responsibility for the PhD thesis.
4. The supervisors and co-supervisors may make suggestions to the PhD candidate for alterations and additions to the manuscript. The PhD candidate will follow these suggestions as much as possible.
5. A supervisor or co-supervisor may only withdraw as supervisor or co-supervisor in exceptional cases, stating the reasons. They must notify the PhD candidate, the other supervisors and co-supervisors, the director of the Graduate School, and the Dean of their withdrawal without delay. Article 2.2.3 will apply *mutatis mutandis*.

Article 3.5 *Termination of employment agreement or appointment of supervisors or co-supervisors*

1. An honourably discharged professor or associate or assistant professor with the *ius promovendi* may only act as supervisor if they were appointed as supervisor before their discharge and if the PhD thesis is approved by the Assessment Committee within five years of their discharge.
2. If the Assessment Committee does not approve the PhD thesis within five years of the supervisor's discharge or appointment, the appointment will lapse and the Dean will appoint another professor as supervisor, on the recommendation of the director of the Graduate School and after hearing the views of the PhD candidate, unless in the Dean's opinion – provided more than one supervisor was appointed – the appointment of a new supervisor is not needed.
3. A supervisor or co-supervisor whose employment agreement has been terminated with immediate effect or by dissolution by the district court may never act as a supervisor or co-supervisor for current and future PhD candidates.

Article 3.6 *More supervisors or co-supervisors*

1. The director of the Graduate School of the faculty in question must appoint at least two and no more than three supervisors and co-supervisors. In the situations listed in article 2.2 (2), a fourth supervisor or co-supervisor may be appointed. The Dean will appoint the nominated supervisor(s) or co-supervisor(s).
2. The supervisors and co-supervisors will distribute the tasks among themselves, taking the PhD candidate's views into account. The distribution of tasks will be recorded in the Training and Supervision Plan.

3. The distribution of tasks will not affect the responsibility of the supervisors and co-supervisors for the PhD thesis as a whole.
4. The supervisors and co-supervisors will approve the PhD thesis jointly and will jointly give the PhD candidate a statement regarding the defensibility of the propositions, as referred to in Article 4.2.

Article 3.7 *Prohibited relationships*

1. Close family members of the PhD candidate (to the fourth degree) or other individuals who have a relationship with the PhD candidate that means that they cannot reasonably be required to pass judgement will not be eligible for appointment as supervisor or co-supervisor and may not be members of the Assessment Committee or PhD Examining Committee.
2. Close family members of the co-supervisor (to the fourth degree) or other individuals who have a relationship with the co-supervisor that means that they cannot reasonably be required to pass judgement may not be members of the Assessment Committee or PhD Examining Committee.
3. The appointed supervisors and co-supervisors may not be related to each other (to the fourth degree) or have a relationship that means that they cannot reasonably be expected to pass independent judgement. The Dean may deviate from these rules in exceptional cases.

Chapter 4

THE PHD THESIS

Article 4.1 **Definition of terms**

1. The PhD thesis is:
 - a. an academic thesis about a certain subject, or
 - b. a collection of academic publications that may or may not have been published previously, or
 - c. a tangible technological design, accompanied by thorough documentation which can be taken away and examined.
2. Where these regulations refer to the PhD thesis, the term may also be taken to mean a part of a PhD thesis as referred to in Article 2.5.2 of these regulations.
3. Data (including databases) and the accompanying explanations will in any case be filed for the purpose of verifying the research. They will be made available for further scientific research in accordance with the guidelines of the University of Groningen's Data Policy, the Data Policy of the research institute, and the data management plan for the PhD research. The Dean may grant a full or partial exemption from this requirement for a certain period if there are compelling reasons to do so.
4. In order to safeguard the storage of the thesis and to ensure that the thesis and the research data remain available to the University of Groningen for further research and education, the PhD candidate must sign the licence agreement, as included in Appendix 8, before it is submitted to the Repository University of Groningen.
5. In so far as the University of Groningen is the employer of the PhD candidate, the CAO-NU, the Dutch Patent Act, and University of Groningen & University Medical Center Groningen regulations for Intellectual Property Rights apply with regard to intellectual property rights. In specific legal relationships in which the University of Groningen is not the employer, the University of Groningen regulations for Intellectual Property Rights may apply. The University of Groningen is entitled to store this work in the relevant section of the University of Groningen Library, to be used for the purpose of academic teaching and research, and to publish it on the internet as referred to in Article 4.7.
6. The PhD candidate must ensure that the copyright provisions have been complied with, as elaborated in Article 4.7 and Appendix 8: the Licence Agreement.

Article 4.2 **Propositions ('stellingen')**

1. At least six propositions must be added to the PhD thesis. These propositions must be academically defensible. As soon as possible after approval of the PhD thesis as referred to in Article 5.1, the PhD candidate will submit the propositions to the supervisors and co-supervisors through Hora Finita. The supervisors and co-supervisors will assess the quality of the propositions submitted and also assess whether the propositions are defensible.
2. The supervisors and co-supervisors are responsible for registering the decision as to whether or not the propositions are defensible in Hora Finita.

Article 4.3 Language

1. The PhD thesis and the corresponding propositions must be written in Dutch or English, or, with the approval of the Rector Magnificus, in another language.
2. If the PhD thesis is written in Dutch, an English translation of the title (and any subtitle) as well as an English summary of the content must be provided as a separate appendix to the thesis. If so desired, an additional summary in another language can be included. If the PhD thesis is written in English, a Dutch translation of the title (and any subtitle) as well as a Dutch summary of the content must be provided as a separate appendix to the thesis. If so desired, an additional summary in another language can be included.
3. If a PhD thesis is written in a combination of languages, for the sections written in Dutch a translation of the title as well as a summary of the content must be provided in a permitted language. For sections not written in Dutch, a Dutch translation of the title and a Dutch abstract of the texts must be included.
4. If the PhD thesis is written in a language other than Dutch or English, it must include the title and summary in Dutch and an English translation of the title and the summary must be provided. If so desired, an additional summary in another language can be included.

Article 4.4 Contents

1. The PhD thesis must include:
 - a title page which complies with the format set out in Appendix 7
 - a table of contents
 - an introduction which at least includes the research question addressed in the PhD thesis
 - an academic analysis
 - a conclusion
 - an abstract
 - if possible, a list of names and subjects and/or a list of sources
 - optionally, a brief version of the PhD candidate's CV
 - optionally, a list of the PhD candidate's publications

The propositions must accompany the PhD thesis as an appendix on a separate sheet.

2. If there are compelling reasons to do so, the PhD candidate may ask the Dean not to state the date and place of birth on the title page of the electronic version of the PhD thesis, which will be published on the web. The PhD candidate will have to state the reasons in their request. If the Dean approves the request, the PhD candidate will have to submit the approval to the PhD degree registration office along with the completed title page.
3. Optionally, the PhD candidate may include a brief and concise foreword and a summary in Dutch or English for non-experts.

Article 4.5 Collection of academic publications as a PhD thesis

1. Article 4.4 will apply *mutatis mutandis* to a PhD thesis whereby the academic analysis consists of a collection of academic publications which may or may not have been published previously. The relationship between these publications must be outlined and explained in an introduction and summary conclusion. The publications in question must be added as chapters.
2. Academic publications are eligible only if they meet the following criteria:
 - a. The publications must form a coherent whole; as a guideline, if they have already been published, the individual articles must have been published no more than five years prior to the submission of the PhD thesis for assessment by the Assessment

Committee.

- b. Written consent must be given for use in the thesis of publications for which the copyright has been given to third parties.
- c. In the foreword or the introductory chapter, the PhD candidate must indicate clearly which share they had in writing the publications. The PhD candidate must have had an essential and substantial share in the research that resulted in the academic publications.

Article 4.6 *Form*

The PhD thesis and the accompanying propositions must be made available in both printed and digital form.

Article 4.7 *Printing and submitting the PhD thesis*

1. After receiving notification of admission as referred to in Article 6.3, the Dean will give the PhD candidate permission to have the PhD thesis printed.
2. As soon as possible after the PhD thesis and the accompanying propositions have been printed, but three weeks before the date of the defence at the latest, the PhD candidate will submit to

* the University Library, Broerstraat 4:

- two printed copies of the PhD thesis and the accompanying propositions
- one printed copy of the title page

* to the Graduate School:

- one printed copy of the PhD thesis and the accompanying propositions

* *via* Hora Finita:

- to the University Library, Broerstraat 4:
- the electronic version of the thesis and the accompanying propositions;
- if the thesis also appears as a commercial edition, the electronic version must be supplied together with a copy of the agreement with the publisher, authorizing publication of the electronic version.
- The PhD candidate must upload various abstracts and complete a questionnaire in Hora Finita (under the Communication tab) no later than five weeks before the date of the PhD defence for the Communication Office and the University of Groningen Repository. The summaries for non-experts, which are intended for the Communication Office and for the University of Groningen Library, must be written in Dutch and English, each no longer than 250 words.

3. The University of Groningen Library will make the thesis available in printed and electronic form, in principle before the PhD defence (subject to and stating the date of the defence), but no later than one month after the defence. The electronic version will be made public through the University of Groningen Repository. The Dean may grant a full or partial exemption from publication for a certain period if there are compelling reasons to do so.
4. If potential patents are involved, the UG IP & Business Development team must be contacted before the PhD thesis is printed and published.

Chapter 5

ASSESSMENT OF THE PHD THESIS BY THE SUPERVISORS AND CO-SUPERVISORS

Article 5.1 ***Approval of the PhD thesis by the supervisors and co-supervisors***

1. If the supervisors and co-supervisors are of the opinion that the PhD thesis meets the required academic standard and can be regarded as an adequate demonstration of competence to carry out independent academic research, the supervisors and co-supervisors give their approval.
2. Before doing so, they must ensure, in collaboration with the PhD candidate, that the PhD candidate's research data are made available for further research, as agreed in the Research Data Management Plan.
3. The supervisors and co-supervisors are responsible for registering their approval in Hora Finita, after which the PhD candidate, the Dean, and the director of the Graduate School will automatically be notified of this.

Article 5.2 ***Supervisors withhold approval of the PhD thesis***

1. If a supervisor withholds approval of the PhD thesis, at the request of the PhD candidate and after hearing the views of the PhD candidate, the supervisors and the co-supervisors, the Dean will decide whether to appoint a different supervisor.
2. If a co-supervisor withholds approval of the PhD thesis, at the request of the PhD candidate and after hearing the views of the candidate, the supervisors and the co-supervisors, the Dean will decide whether to appoint a different co-supervisor, unless in the Dean's opinion the appointment of a new co-supervisor is unnecessary, because there are already several supervisors and co-supervisors.

Article 5.3 ***Time limit***

The supervisors and co-supervisors must respond to a written request submitted by the PhD candidate for approval of the PhD thesis within four weeks. If the supervisors or co-supervisors withhold their approval, they must also state their reasons for this.

Article 5.4 ***Further action***

1. As soon as possible after receiving the approval referred to in Article 5.1. and if necessary, the statement referred to in Article 2.4.2, and after the appointment of the Assessment Committee referred to in Article 6.1, the PhD candidate will submit the PhD thesis which has been approved by the supervisors and co-supervisors, with a submission letter, to the Dean and the members of the Assessment Committee as described in Article 6.1.
2. The PhD candidate will also submit the title page of the PhD thesis in the designated format to the PhD degree registration office *via* Hora Finita.

Chapter 6

THE ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

Article 6.1 *The Assessment Committee*

1. As soon as possible after receiving the approval referred to in Article 5.1, the Dean of the faculty covering the subject area of the PhD thesis appoints an Assessment Committee.
2. The Assessment Committee consists of three members. The (primary) supervisor makes a recommendation regarding the composition of the Assessment Committee. Following approval by the Dean, the (primary) supervisor determines whether the candidates are willing to be members of the Assessment Committee.
3. Professors affiliated with a university, associate or assistant professors with the *ius promovendi*, individuals from abroad who have the *ius promovendi* or an equivalent qualification in the opinion of the Dean, and directors of research institutes with a PhD degree, who have not co-authored any publications included in the PhD thesis, may be members of the Assessment Committee. The Assessment Committee consists of at least one professor or associate or assistant professor with the *ius promovendi* from the University of Groningen and one professor from another university in the Netherlands or another country. Professors from a foreign university must have at least a level equivalent to the Dutch level. If necessary, the Dean will conduct a further investigation into this matter. Co-supervisors may not be members of the Assessment Committee.
4. Professors or associate or assistant professors with the *ius promovendi* whose employment agreement has terminated may be members of the Assessment Committee for up to five years after termination of the employment agreement, unless such termination has taken place with immediate effect or by dissolution of the agreement by the district court.
5. Article 3.7 applies *mutatis mutandis* to membership of the Assessment Committee.

Article 6.2 *Chair of the Assessment Committee*

The Dean is Chair of the Assessment Committee. The Chair is not a member of the Assessment Committee.

Article 6.3 *The Assessment Committee's decision*

1. The Assessment Committee must announce its decision regarding the PhD thesis within four weeks of its appointment.
2. If the members of the Assessment Committee wish to meet to discuss the thesis, their discussions will be confidential.
3. When making its decision, the Assessment Committee will limit itself to a qualitative judgement of the main features of the study submitted, based on the research question agreed between the supervisors and co-supervisors and the PhD candidate.
4. All members of the Assessment Committee will provide a substantiated opinion of the PhD thesis in writing *via* Hora Finita. If at least one of the members of the Assessment

Committee gives a negative assessment, the Dean will decide about the approval of the PhD thesis. The Dean will consult the members of the Assessment Committee in connection with this decision.

5. The Chair of the Committee will ensure that the approval or rejection of the PhD thesis is registered *via* Hora Finita, after which the PhD candidate, the supervisors, the PhD degree registration office, the director of the Graduate School and if necessary, the members of the Assessment Committee will be notified of the decision.
6. If the Assessment Committee withholds its approval, as referred to in the second sentence of Article 6.3.4, then unless the Dean decides otherwise, the Committee will be deemed to have been dissolved after making its decision known. In the event that the Assessment Committee or the Dean withhold their approval, as referred to in the second sentence of Article 6.3.4, the supervisor may ask the Dean to appoint a new Assessment Committee. Members of the dissolved committee may be members of this new committee.

Chapter 7

THE PHD EXAMINING COMMITTEE

Article 7.1 *Composition of the PhD Examining Committee*

1. As soon as possible after being notified of the positive decision referred to in Article 6.3, the Dean will give the PhD candidate permission to defend their thesis and will appoint a PhD Examining Committee on the recommendation of the primary supervisor. The defence will then take place in the presence of this committee. The PhD Examining Committee decides on awarding the PhD.
2. The PhD Examining Committee consists of at least five members, including:
 - professors, both from the University of Groningen and from other universities in the Netherlands or other countries and
 - associate or assistant professors from the University of Groningen who have been awarded the *ius promovendi*, and
 - a maximum of two associate or assistant professors with a PhD degree but without the *ius promovendi*.

The members of the Assessment Committee and the Chair as referred to in Article 7.2 will be included in this number, but the experts as referred to in Article 7.3 will not be. Supervisors and co-supervisors may not be members of the PhD Examining Committee.

Professors from a foreign university must hold a position which is equivalent to that of a professor appointed by a Dutch university. If necessary, the Dean will conduct a further investigation into this matter.

The primary supervisor will check in advance whether the intended individuals are willing to sit on the PhD Examining Committee.

3. Article 3.7 will apply *mutatis mutandis* to membership of the PhD Examining Committee.
4. At least half of the committee members must be affiliated with the University of Groningen. The primary supervisor may ask the Dean in writing, stating the reasons, to exclude the application of this provision.

Article 7.2 *Chair of the PhD Examining Committee*

1. The Chair of the PhD Examining Committee is the Rector Magnificus or their deputy. The Chair must be a full professor at the University of Groningen, and will wear a UG gown.
2. The Chair is a member of the PhD Examining Committee.
3. The Chair invites members of the committee to speak and tells them when to stop.
4. The Chair appoints one of the members of the committee to be the secretary. The secretary is a professor or associate professor or assistant professor with the *ius promovendi* who is affiliated with the University of Groningen.

Article 7.3 *Expert*

At the request of the primary supervisor, the Dean may admit to the defence individuals who may use the title 'Doctor' in the Netherlands and who are specialists in the subject area (or part

of the subject area) of the PhD thesis. If the PhD Examining Committee agrees, they will take part in the opposition and will have an advisory vote in the deliberations about whether or not to award the PhD.

Article 7.4 *Taking part in the opposition*

1. The opposition consists of the PhD Examining Committee, possible experts in the field and the supervisors. The Chair is not part of the opposition. During the defence, a maximum of nine individuals may take part in the opposition. In exceptional cases, this number may be deviated from, at the Dean's discretion.
2. The composition of the opposition must reflect diversity as much as possible.
3. The opposition from the audience is not included in the number mentioned in Article 7.4.1.
4. Opposition from the audience is permitted provided that the Dean of the faculty is notified three weeks in advance.

Article 7.5 *Convening the PhD Examining Committee*

The Dean will convene the PhD Examining Committee in good time to hold the opposition during the defence and to attend a closed meeting prior to it.

Article 7.6 *Substitution of a member of the PhD Examining Committee*

1. If due to unforeseen circumstances a member of the PhD Examining Committee is unable to attend the preliminary meeting, as referred to in Article 7.5, or the defence, the primary supervisor must ensure a substitute or solution is found in good time (Articles 7.1.4 and 3.7 also apply here). The solution may involve the opposition in question being read out by another member of the PhD Examining Committee.
2. If the provisions of Article 7.6.1 apply, the supervisor must notify the Dean of this no later than 48 hours before the start of the defence or as soon as possible.

Chapter 8

THE PHD CEREMONY

Article 8.1 *Date, time, place*

1. The PhD candidate will discuss the date of the PhD ceremony with the supervisors and co-supervisors and the Dean as soon as possible after receiving notification of the approval of the manuscript as referred to in Article 5.1. Subject to the approval of the Assessment Committee, the date, time and place will be fixed by the PhD degree registration office.
2. PhD ceremonies are held in the University of Groningen's auditorium at Broerstraat 5 in Groningen. If the PhD candidate is associated with Campus Fryslân, the PhD ceremony may be held there. In unforeseen circumstances, PhD ceremonies may be held elsewhere.

Article 8.2 *Online PhD ceremony*

1. PhD ceremonies can be held online, with members of the PhD Examining Committee and opposition attending *via* a video link. This requires the approval of the Dean.
2. The Chair and the secretary of the PhD Examining Committee must attend the ceremony in person.
3. In exceptional cases, the PhD candidate may ask to attend the ceremony online. They must submit a substantiated request to this end to the Dean.

Article 8.3 *Public nature of meetings*

Subject to the provisions of Article 1.4.3, the PhD ceremony will be open to the public.

Article 8.4 *The defence of the PhD thesis*

1. The provisions of the protocol, as included in Appendix 5, also apply.
2. The PhD ceremony, including the defence of the PhD thesis, will be conducted in Dutch or English.
3. The defence will end 45 minutes after the beginning of the public session; the session will be terminated by the Chair.

Article 8.5 *Decision-making*

1. After the defence has been terminated, the PhD Examining Committee, on behalf of the Doctorate Board, will make a decision at a closed meeting about awarding the PhD.
2. When deciding whether or not to award the PhD, the committee will take into account the decision of the Assessment Committee referred to in Article 6.3.1 and the candidate's defence of the PhD thesis.
3. A refusal to award the PhD on the grounds of the candidate's defence of the PhD thesis must be based on the unanimous opinion of the PhD Examining Committee. Grounds for refusal may be the PhD candidate's complete silence during the defence or the discovery of fraud or cheating.
4. In very exceptional cases, a PhD may be awarded posthumously. This is arranged as described in Appendix 10 of these regulations.

Chapter 9

CUM LAUDE

Article 9.1 *Proposal to award the cum laude distinction*

1. If a PhD candidate has shown outstanding ability to conduct independent academic research, the PhD Examining Committee, on behalf of the Doctorate Board, may decide to confer the *cum laude* honours distinction.
2. A proposal to award the *cum laude* distinction can be put forward by one of the supervisors or co-supervisors, or by the Assessment Committee. Proposals made by the Assessment Committee require the approval of the primary supervisor. A substantiated proposal in writing must be submitted confidentially to the Dean at least eight weeks before the date of the PhD ceremony. In the case that one of the supervisors or co-supervisors puts forward a proposal, the Assessment Committee will be informed.
3. The Assessment Committee must be unanimous in their opinion that the *cum laude* distinction should be conferred. At the request of the Dean, the Assessment Committee will nominate at least two external referees who are professors in the subject area of the PhD thesis and are not co-authors of any of the publications included in the thesis. The Dean will appoint two but no more than three referees, preferably from those nominated, but the Dean may also decide to choose someone else. The external referees decide on the *cum laude* distinction. The external referees will submit confidential recommendations in writing to the Dean *via* Hora Finita within three weeks of being appointed.
4. At least two referees, regardless of the number of designated referees, must have assessed the PhD thesis as 'outstanding' for the *cum laude* distinction to be awarded. The assessment of the referees and the proposal to award the *cum laude* distinction will be confidentially communicated by the Dean to the members of the PhD Examining Committee as soon as possible prior to the ceremony.
5. The Dean will ensure that the proposal referred to in Article 9.1.4 is registered in Hora Finita, after which it will be automatically registered by the PhD degree registration office.
6. Regarding the proposal to award the *cum laude* distinction, the PhD Examining Committee will take note of:
 - the significance and degree of originality of the research question and methods
 - the academic and technical standard of the work
 - the opinions of the external referees
 - the share of work on the PhD project completed by those other than the PhD candidate
 - language and style
7. At the meeting referred to in Article 8.5.1 about the proposal to award the PhD, a secret ballot will be held among the members of the PhD Examining Committee who are eligible to vote to decide whether or not to award the *cum laude* distinction. Votes may only be for or against the proposal. A vote for or against the proposal takes place with due observance of the defence by the PhD candidate. The proposal is rejected if more than one member votes against it. In all other cases the proposal will be accepted. The Rector Magnificus, or the person replacing them, will abstain from voting, even though they are a voting member of the PhD Examining Committee. The supervisors and co-supervisors will not attend the vote.

8. In the case of a digital PhD ceremony as referred to in Article 8.2.1, the members of the PhD Examining Committee will only inform the Chair of their vote.

Chapter 10

CERTIFICATE

Article 10.1 Certificate

1. As proof that the PhD has been awarded, the new Doctor will receive a certificate in Latin, on behalf of the University, signed by the Rector Magnificus, the secretary of the PhD Examining Committee and the supervisors and co-supervisors and a statement written in English. The Chair's signature must be handwritten.
2. If the PhD has been awarded the *cum laude* distinction, this will be stated on the certificate.
3. As proof of successful completion of the Graduate School's training programme, the PhD candidate may also be given a certificate from the Graduate School in addition to the PhD certificate.

Chapter 11

THE HONORARY DOCTORATE (HONORIS CAUSA)

Article 11.1 **Authority to award an honorary doctorate**

The Doctorate Board may award natural persons an honorary doctorate for outstanding contributions to academic disciplines represented at the University of Groningen.

Article 11.2 **Procedure**

1. In response to a proposal submitted to the Doctorate Board to that end, if possible, with the nomination of a supervisor, the Board of the most appropriate faculty may recommend the conferral of an honorary doctorate.
2. A recommendation in writing, accompanied by a detailed account of the reasons, a CV, and a list of publications, will be sent confidentially to the Rector Magnificus, who will present the recommendation to the Doctorate Board.
3. If the Doctorate Board intends to accept the recommendation, the opinion of the Board of the University will be sought.
4. With due regard for the views of the Board of the University, the Doctorate Board will make a decision regarding the conferral of the honorary doctorate. If the Doctorate Board decides in favour, it will also appoint one or two professors as supervisors.
5. The decision referred to in Article 11.2.4 will be made known in writing, confidentially, to the Board of the University, the Dean in question, the supervisor(s), and the candidate.
6. Except in special circumstances, an honorary doctorate will not be conferred upon an individual who is not present in person at the session referred to in Article 11.4.1.
7. The decision will not be made public until the candidate has stated that they will accept the honorary doctorate and will be present in person at the session referred to in Article 11.4.1, subject to the provisions of Article 11.2.6.

Article 11.3 **Regalia**

The honorand will receive a certificate from the supervisor(s), signed by the Rector Magnificus, the members of the Doctorate Board, and the supervisor(s). They will also receive the *cappa*.

Article 11.4 **Presentation of the regalia**

1. The regalia associated with the conferral of the honorand will be presented during a special public session of the Doctorate Board: the honorary doctorate ceremony.
2. On behalf of the Doctorate Board, the Rector Magnificus will invite interested parties to attend this ceremony.

Chapter 12

DOUBLE AND JOINT DOCTORATES

Article 12.1 *Definitions*

1. These regulations also apply to joint or double PhD programmes, unless otherwise stipulated in this chapter.
2. Arrangements may be made between the University of Groningen and one or more other universities, possibly in different countries, about awarding a joint or double doctorate on the basis of a PhD thesis which meets the provisions of these regulations. These agreements will be recorded in an agreement which will be submitted to the Board of the University of Groningen for signature. This agreement must be entered into at least two years before the planned PhD ceremony.
3. For a double doctorate, a PhD degree is conferred by two universities separately on the basis of a programme that has been approved by both universities and for which the research has been conducted for at least six months at each of the universities. The two universities bear joint responsibility for supervision and will be represented in both the Assessment Committee and the PhD Examining Committee.
4. For a joint doctorate, a PhD degree is conferred by two or no more than three universities jointly on the basis of a programme that has been approved by all these universities and for which the research has been conducted for at least six months at each of the universities. The universities bear joint responsibility for supervision and will be represented in both the Assessment Committee and the PhD Examining Committee.
5. For further regulations regarding joint and double doctorates, please refer to the Protocol (Appendix 4) and the model agreements for joint and double doctorates, which can be found on the University of Groningen website.

Article 12.2 *PhD research project*

1. The PhD research project and the thesis based on it must be the result of one or more research programmes established jointly by the University of Groningen and one or more universities as referred to in Article 12.1. To as great an extent as possible, the training and research programmes will be set up in consultation with the Dutch or foreign partner university.
2. The supervisor appointed by the foreign university must have the *ius promovendi* according to the rules of the country of the partner university.
3. The PhD candidate should spend at least six months, in accordance with Articles 12.1.3 and 12.1.4, but preferably a proportionate amount of the time spent on the PhD project at the partner university or universities to take courses and/or conduct research for the PhD thesis. A statement about this will be submitted to the Doctorate Board. In addition, the University of Groningen supervisor must demonstrate that the UG was responsible for supervising the PhD candidate for a proportional amount of the time spent on the PhD project.

If the PhD thesis is written in Dutch, it must be accompanied by a comprehensive scientific summary written in English.

Article 12.3 Research data

1. Arrangements will be made with the partner institution(s) regarding the availability of and access to the research data during and after the completion of the PhD research project, for evaluation of the thesis, for verification purposes, and for further scientific research and teaching.
2. Agreements will be made with the partner institution(s) on the careful handling of personal data in order to comply with the obligations arising from the General Data Protection Regulation and other applicable laws and regulations regarding the protection of personal data.

Article 12.4 The Assessment Committee and the PhD Examining Committee

1. For a joint or double doctorate, the Assessment Committee and the PhD Examining Committee referred to in Chapters 6 and 7 of these PhD regulations must each include at least one member from each university involved.
2. In the event of a double or joint doctorate, the Assessment Committee has four members.
3. Article 7.1.4 of these regulations may be deviated from in the event of a joint or double doctorate. In these cases it is not required that at least half of the members of the PhD Examining Committee are affiliated with the University of Groningen.
4. In the event of a double doctorate, it is possible that instead of one joint PhD Examining Committee, two different committees are established: one for the University of Groningen and one for the partner institution. The PhD ceremony can take place simultaneously for both committees.

Article 12.5 The defence of the PhD thesis

1. The defence of the PhD thesis will be conducted wholly or partially in Dutch, English, or the language of at least one of the countries in which the foreign university referred to in Article 12.1 is located.
2. In the event of a joint doctorate or double doctorate with one defence, the digital PhD ceremony as referred to in Article 8.2 of these regulations must be organised by the University of Groningen.

Article 12.6 The certificate

1. In the event of a joint doctorate:
 - a. the certificate referred to in Chapter 10 of these regulations will be issued jointly on behalf of the universities referred to in Article 12.1, or
 - b. the universities referred to in Article 12.1 each issue a certificate stating that these certificates are only valid jointly.
2. In the case of a double doctorate, both universities will issue a certificate stating that the PhD candidate received joint supervision.

Chapter 13

DISPUTE SETTLEMENT

Article 13.1 *General*

1. The provisions of the AWB apply.
2. The regulations do not address the settlement of legal status disputes.

Article 13.2 *Disputes regarding the implementation of the PhD regulations*

1. If a dispute arises in connection with the implementation of the provisions or the appendices of these regulations concerning a decision by or on behalf of the Doctorate Board, any interested party may submit a substantiated notice of objection to the Doctorate Board *via* the email address cvb.rm@rug.nl within six weeks of being notified of the decision.
2. A committee, as referred to in Article 7.13 of the AWB, will prepare the Doctorate Board's decision regarding the notice of objection. At the University of Groningen this is the Disputes Committee, as referred to in Chapter 5 of the BBR.
3. The committee consists of a Chair and two other members. The Chair must meet the requirements for appointment as district court judge and must not be employed by the University of Groningen. The two other members are professors who are not members of and do not act under the responsibility of the Doctorate Board.
4. While preparing its recommendations, the committee will hear the parties involved and will be entitled to gain any information which is necessary to perform its duties responsibly.
5. The committee will make recommendations in writing to the Doctorate Board. The recommendations will be accompanied by written accounts of the hearings.
6. Notwithstanding the provisions of the AWB, in certain cases the Doctorate Board may decide, upon request, to apply the internal time limits referred to in the PhD regulations.

Article 13.3 *Decision of the Doctorate Board*

1. Within four weeks after receiving the recommendations, but within ten weeks at the most after receiving the notice of objection, the Doctorate Board will make its decision known in writing, stating the reasons, to the person who submitted the objection and to other parties involved.
2. If the decision regarding the objection differs from the recommendation made by the committee referred to in Article 13.2.5, the reason for this difference will be stated; in all cases the committee's recommendation and the report of the hearing will be sent along with the decision.

Article 13.4 *Appeal*

An interested party may lodge an appeal with the court against a decision by the Doctorate Board, as referred to in Article 13.3, first paragraph, within six weeks of the decision on the objection being

announced. The decision on the objection shall state the possibility of lodging an appeal with the court.

Chapter 14

FINAL AND TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS

Article 14.1

1. In cases not covered by these regulations, or if there is a difference of opinion as to the interpretation of the provisions of these regulations, the Doctorate Board will decide.
2. In very exceptional cases, the Rector Magnificus may deviate from these regulations on behalf of the Doctorate Board, subject to mandatory legal provisions.
3. Requests to deviate from the regulations must be submitted to the Doctorate Board in writing, stating the reasons.

Article 14.2

If the provisions of these regulations are not complied with, the Rector Magnificus is authorized to postpone the PhD ceremony until a date to be determined at their discretion.

Article 14.3

All pending applications for admission to a PhD ceremony will, unless the Doctorate Board decides otherwise, be brought into line with these regulations as of the date these regulations take effect.

Article 14.4

1. These regulations may be cited as: University of Groningen PhD regulations, or 2024 UG PhD regulations.
2. These regulations come into effect on 1 May 2026. The previous PhD regulations will then lapse.
3. In the event of differences between the Dutch version of the PhD regulations and the English translation, the Dutch version takes precedence.

As decreed by the Doctorate Board on 4 March 2026.

Appendix 1

IMPLEMENTATION REGULATIONS AS REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 2.4.2

A. ADMISSION to a PhD programme in exceptional cases on the basis of Dutch certificates, if the prospective PhD candidate does not meet the requirement set out in Article 2.4.1a.

Article A.1 *Mandate*

The power, as referred to in Article 2.4.2, to the extent that it relates to applications to be admitted to a PhD programme on the basis of Dutch certificates, will be exercised by the Graduate School Admissions Committee in question, on behalf of the Dean in question and at the request of the Doctorate Board.

Article A.2 *Objective*

A prospective PhD candidate must convince the Graduate School Admissions Committee that they are able to conduct independent academic research and have a realistic chance of completing a PhD thesis.

Article A.3 *Documentation*

A prospective PhD candidate must substantiate their application as much as possible by providing certificates, (academic) publications, letters of recommendation regarding positions held, written statements by academic researchers and the proposed supervisor(s).

Article A.4 *Procedure*

1. In accordance with the current admissions procedure, to be admitted to a PhD programme the prospective PhD candidate must submit an application in writing, accompanied by the available documentation, to the Admissions Committee.
2. The Admissions Committee will decide on the basis of the documents submitted whether or not it considers the candidate capable of conducting independent research which could lead to a completed PhD thesis, before the candidate starts the PhD research. If necessary, the Admissions Committee in question will interview the applicant. The Admissions Committee will make its decision in writing, stating the reasons. This may be accompanied by a recommendation that the candidate should remedy certain deficiencies.
3. The Admissions Committee will make its decision known to the applicant in writing, stating the reasons, within six weeks. If the decision cannot be made within six weeks, the Admissions Committee will notify the applicant of this and state a reasonable time limit within which the decision can be expected.
4. If the final decision is positive, the applicant will be admitted to the PhD programme. If the final decision is negative, the applicant will not be admitted to the PhD programme.
5. Chapter 14 of the PhD regulations will apply *mutatis mutandis*.
6. Once the Admissions Committee has decided that the applicant will be admitted to the PhD programme, the other provisions of the PhD regulations will apply.

B. ADMISSION to a PhD procedure in exceptional cases in which the requirement set out in Article 2.4.1a has not been met, on the basis of foreign certificates

Article B.1 *Mandate*

The power, as referred to in Article 2.4.2, to the extent that it relates to applications to be admitted to a PhD programme on the basis of foreign certificates, will be exercised by the Graduate School Admissions Committee in question, on behalf of the Dean in question and at the request of the Doctorate Board.

Article B.2 *Objective*

A prospective PhD candidate must convince the Graduate School Admissions Committee that they are able to conduct independent academic research and have a realistic chance of completing a PhD thesis.

Article B.3 *Documentation*

A prospective PhD candidate must substantiate their application as much as possible by providing certificates, (academic) publications, letters of recommendation regarding positions held, written statements by academic researchers and the (proposed) supervisor(s).

Article B.4 *Procedure*

1. In accordance with the current admissions procedure, to be admitted to a PhD programme the prospective PhD candidate must submit an application in writing, accompanied by the available documentation, to the Admissions Committee. The committee compares the foreign qualifications with the Dutch standard.
2. The Admissions Committee will decide on the basis of the documents submitted whether or not it considers the candidate capable of conducting independent research which could lead to a completed PhD thesis, before the candidate starts the PhD research. If necessary, the Admissions Committee in question will interview the applicant. The Admissions Committee will make its decision in writing, stating the reasons.
3. The Admissions Committee will make its decision known to the applicant in writing, stating the reasons, within six weeks. If the decision cannot be made within six weeks, the Admissions Committee will notify the applicant of this and state a reasonable time limit within which the decision can be expected.
4. If the final decision is positive, the applicant will be admitted to the PhD programme. If the final decision is negative, the applicant will not be admitted to the PhD programme.
5. Chapter 14 of the PhD regulations will apply *mutatis mutandis*.
6. Once the Admissions Committee has decided that the applicant will be admitted to the PhD programme, the other provisions of the PhD regulations will apply.

Appendix 2

EXPLANATORY NOTES TO THE PHD REGULATIONS: GENERAL AND SECTION BY SECTION

The purpose of this appendix is to explain the rules in the PhD regulations. Should any difference of opinion arise, the text of the PhD regulations will prevail.

1. General

The authority for the PhD regulations lies with the Doctorate Board (Article 9.10 WHW). Article 7.19 of the WHW mandates this Doctorate Board to draw up PhD regulations. Furthermore, the Act is limited to providing a number of conditions. The text of a few relevant articles of the Act is included in Appendix 3. These regulations also comply with the requirements of the General Administrative Law Act.

It is recommended that the opposition reflects diversity as much as possible. This means that diversity will be considered when composing the PhD Examining Committee and when appointing experts, supervisors, and co-supervisors.

Section by section explanatory notes

Chapter 1 General Provisions

Articles 1.2 and 1.4

The WHW is based on the system of the undifferentiated doctorates. The underlying reason for this is that, due to the increasing specialization in academic disciplines and increasing differentiation between universities, it is no longer meaningful to give someone a PhD in a certain academic field.

Such a qualification creates the impression of expertise in the entire field, whereas in reality the expertise in question is in a certain area of a field or an area where two academic fields overlap to such an extent that it is difficult to choose. A PhD is now more of an academic qualification; it is an academic qualification only in relation to the subject of research.

Chapter 2 The PhD candidate

Article 2.2

In most cases the supervisors and co-supervisors have been working with the PhD candidate for a long time; satisfactory collaboration is a crucial prerequisite for the success of the PhD research project. If they agree, and if the specialisation of the supervisors and co-supervisors match the subject of the PhD research to a sufficient degree, then as a rule the Dean will appoint the individuals nominated.

Article 2.3

The University of Groningen has an admission procedure for PhD programmes (see Regulations 1A or 1B in Appendix 1). Before beginning a PhD programme, the PhD candidate, along with the supervisors and co-supervisors, draws up a Training and Supervision Plan (TSP) which marks the beginning of the PhD programme.

As a rule, the Graduate School in question will register the Training and Supervision Plan and the PhD candidate in Hora Finita at the request of the respective Dean.

A Research Data Management Plan will be drawn up in accordance with Article 2.3.4.

In accordance with Article 2.3.5, the required compliance with the rules regarding academic integrity will be explicitly pointed out to the PhD candidate.

Article 2.4

Under the WHW, a Dutch Master's degree directly entitles the holder to apply for a PhD programme. A foreign certificate or a certificate other than a Master's degree requires an

additional procedure for the holder to be admitted to a PhD programme. At the University of Groningen, the rule is that permission to proceed to the PhD ceremony is granted only after admission to the PhD programme has been granted.

Chapter 3 The supervisor

Articles 3.1 and 3.2

All PhD candidates at the University of Groningen must be supervised by more than one individual. For all PhD programmes at the University of Groningen, the primary supervisor must be affiliated with the University of Groningen.

Sometimes, depending on the field of research, a specialist who is a professor from another university may be asked to take charge of part of the supervision as a supervisor. Of course, it is possible that this person was in contact with the PhD candidate first and that it is only at a later stage of the research that it has become helpful to get in touch with a supervisor affiliated with the University of Groningen.

It can also happen that a PhD candidate conducts their research guided by a specialist who does not have the *ius promovendi*, but who does have the title of 'Doctor'. It is advisable to offer this person a place in the procedure as a co-supervisor to ensure that they can also contribute to the assessment. If this person obtains the *ius promovendi* during the PhD procedure before the already appointed supervisors have approved the PhD thesis, with the consent of the other supervisors and the PhD candidate this person can also be appointed as a supervisor.

The Dean may delegate the registration of the names of the supervisors and co-supervisors to the Graduate School.

Article 3.3

This article equally applies in the event of the decease of a supervisor or co-supervisor.

Article 3.4

The supervisors and co-supervisors are also responsible for the content of the PhD thesis and their (contribution to the) supervision must be substantial. The intention of the regulations means that it is impossible for a professor of the University of Groningen to be associated with a PhD procedure *pro forma*.

Article 3.5

In principle, the *ius promovendi* is associated with being a professor. It lapses by operation of law (Article 9.19 WHW) five years after either the honourable discharge or the appointment as a professor.

The terms honourable or dishonourable discharge originate from public service law. Since a few years, university staff members are no longer civil servants and have an employment agreement under civil law. The term honourable discharge is therefore no longer applicable to the PhD regulations.

In any case, it is no longer possible to act as a supervisor or co-supervisor in the event of termination of the employment agreement with immediate effect or dissolution by the district court. In the event of termination of the employment agreement by settlement agreement, the agreement may include arrangements regarding the *ius promovendi*.

For professors and associate and assistant professors who have been awarded the *ius promovendi* by the Doctorate Board, the *ius promovendi* is related to the duration of the employment agreement at the University of Groningen. The *ius promovendi* can be exercised up to five years after the termination of the employment agreement, unless the employment agreement was terminated with immediate effect or by dissolution by the district court. If the

termination of the employment agreement is done by settlement agreement, the settlement agreement may include arrangements concerning the *ius promovendi*.

Article 3.6

The view of the Doctorate Board is that the group of supervisors and co-supervisors in its ultimate form should be an assessing body; because it can be expected from supervisors and co-supervisors that their assessment of the PhD thesis will be positive, the maximum number of supervisors and co-supervisors is three.

Chapter 4 The PhD thesis

Articles 4.4 and 4.5

The PhD will be assessed as a whole, that is, including any appendices and the foreword. If any images are used in the thesis, copyrights must be taken into account, and licences must be purchased or permission requested from the copyright owners.

In the case of a PhD thesis or other academic work it is assumed that when the work is complete all relevant information that can reasonably be known has been incorporated into the work. The Doctorate Board's guideline for this is a period of five years of validity for publications. A deviation from this guideline could, for example, occur in the case of a partial study in the PhD thesis. The PhD candidate can prevent this by updating the research (so that they are in fact still working on it). Sometimes, several authors want to use the same publication for a PhD thesis. The criterion is whether or not it constitutes an essential and substantial share of the research project; it is up to the supervisor to decide. This also includes the monitoring of the validity of the publications. In principle, it does not matter whether the person in question is the first or subsequent author; this is why no particular order has to be used regarding who uses the publication first for their PhD.

Article 4.6

The PhD candidate is expected to make the PhD thesis available as a printed book and in electronic form (*via* the UG repository). It must be readable and must have a clear structure. It must meet the standards of neatness, and the book must not contain any inappropriate information such as advertisements *etc.* (except for a very modest reference – possibly with a logo – to a sponsor at the back). The propositions should be added to the PhD thesis as a separate document.

Article 4.7

The PhD candidate may not have the thesis printed until the Dean has given their permission. This permission is not given until the Assessment Committee has made a positive decision regarding permission for the PhD candidate to proceed to the PhD ceremony in the manner set out in Article 6.3. An application can be submitted for full or partial reimbursement of the costs of the copies of the PhD thesis made available to the UG.

The PhD candidate must immediately notify the UG IP & Business Development Team of any patent positions (or potential patent positions) in accordance with the UG/UMCG regulations for Intellectual Property Rights. In such situations, strict confidentiality must be observed with regard to the results and the thesis, and no versions of the thesis (printed, digital, or in any other way) may be distributed for the period agreed with the IP & Business Development Team. This also applies during the PhD ceremony.

Chapter 5 Assessment of the PhD thesis by the supervisors and co-supervisors

Article 5.1

Approval by the supervisors and co-supervisors does not guarantee approval of the PhD thesis by the Assessment Committee. The supervisors and co-supervisors are expected to stand by their approval.

Article 5.2

At a certain point in time, the draft of a PhD thesis must be finalized. If, after discussions between the supervisors and the PhD candidate, the time has come for the PhD candidate to submit the final version, then, in accordance with these regulations the appointment of a supervisor or co-supervisor will lapse if that supervisor communicates in writing that they are withholding approval.

Chapter 6 The Assessment Committee

Article 6.1

The independence and objectivity of the proposed members must be guaranteed.

Article 6.3

Once the supervisors and co-supervisors have approved the PhD thesis, nothing stands in the way of prompt completion of the PhD procedure. Prior to approval, the main concern was to conduct research; after this point, the main concern is to assess the standard of the results. Those who have been asked to assess the work are expected to do so within a reasonable period, because – like all other examination candidates – PhD candidates cannot be expected to wait a long time for an assessment. The Doctorate Board considers four weeks reasonable and sufficient.

The Assessment Committee's opinion may lead it to recommend, *via* the Dean, that the PhD Examining Committee award the *cum laude* distinction. See Chapter 9.

Chapter 7 The PhD Examining Committee

Article 7.1

The regulations refer to an Assessment Committee and a PhD Examining Committee. The reason behind the decision to have these two tiers was to maintain the 'defence' element of the thesis. After all, can a committee approve a PhD thesis and then reject it if the defence is insufficient (in the presence of that same committee)?

Can the defence actually still be insufficient if the PhD thesis has apparently not raised enough problems to prevent it being approved?

The purpose of adding new members (expansion of the Assessment Committee into a PhD Examining Committee) is to ensure that the defence will receive sufficient unbiased criticism at least from those members. A request in writing, stating the reasons, can be submitted to the Dean to deviate from the provisions of Article 7.1.2.

The independence and objectivity of the proposed members must be guaranteed.

Article 7.2

The role of the Chair is mainly ceremonial and to maintain order. The Chair represents the Doctorate Board, which rarely sits on the PhD Examining Committee itself (except in the case of honorary doctorates). The Chair is entitled to intervene should any irregularities (procedural or otherwise) arise.

Article 7.3

This article is about the independent expert. This expert is expected to be sufficiently specialized in the field of the research or part of that field, so that they are able to advise the Committee. The co-supervisor, on the other hand, should be seen as the member of the supervisory team mainly concerned with the research process. The independent advisor referred to here may play an important role during the assessment process.

Article 7.4

Opposition from the audience is permitted provided that the Dean of the faculty is notified three weeks in advance.

Chapter 8 The PhD ceremony

General

The Dean will ensure that invitations to attend the PhD ceremony and notice of the PhD ceremony are sent *via* Hora Finita in good time. The Dean can ask the faculty beadle or the secretarial staff of the Graduate School to perform this task. Obviously, the highest academic degree can only be awarded on the basis of individual academic qualities. While in the case of a double doctorate there is only one PhD thesis, the standards that apply to single PhDs still apply in full.

Chapter 9 Cum laude

Article 9.1

In accordance with the assessment system used in the Netherlands, the term ‘outstanding’ in Article 9.1.4 means that, in the opinion of the members of the Assessment Committee and PhD Examining Committee and the opinion of the referees, the PhD thesis is one of the best 5-10% of PhD theses within its field in the Netherlands.

Chapter 10 Certificate

Article 10.1

The signatures of the Rector Magnificus (the term ‘Rector Magnificus’ refers to the position and not the holder – the certificate may also be signed by a person who deputizes for the Rector Magnificus as Chair of the PhD Examining Committee), the secretary of the PhD Examining Committee and the supervisors on the certificate determine its validity.

Chapter 11 The Honorary Doctorate (Honoris Causa)

The Doctorate Board awards honorary doctorates only to individuals who are either very academically distinguished or who have made major contributions to an academic field.

Chapter 12 Double and joint doctorate

Article 12.4.2

In the event that three partners are involved in a joint doctorate, the Assessment Committee will consist of five (5) members; one from each of the three partners and two independent members.

Chapter 13 Dispute settlement

General

Chapters 6 and 7, specifically Article 7.13 and Section 7.3, of the AWB apply. The Doctorate Board is the reviewing body referred to in those provisions.

Article 13.2

If in connection with the implementation of the PhD regulations a dispute arises which cannot be resolved between the parties, one of the parties can, of course, first ask an individual such as the Dean, the director of the Graduate School or the Rector Magnificus to mediate before starting a formal objection procedure, taking into account the time limit for objections stated in the AWB.

Article 13.4

If a party takes a dispute to the administrative court, particular attention must be paid to the provisions of Chapter 8 of the AWB. Article 8.4.e of the AWB contains the following provision: no appeal can be lodged against a decision regarding an assessment of the knowledge or skills of a candidate or candidate who has been examined or tested in some other way on the subject in question, or regarding the establishment of examination or test questions or assignments.

N.B. Articles A.4.4 and B.4.4

The assessment of the Graduate School Admissions Committee is a provisional assessment of the capabilities of the person in question, not an assessment of a PhD thesis as referred to in Article 6.3. The right to defend the PhD thesis in the presence of the PhD Examining Committee (the PhD ceremony within the meaning of Chapter 8) cannot be derived from it.

Appendix 3

A FEW RELEVANT ARTICLES OF THE ACT

The Higher Education and Research Act (*Wet op het Hoger Onderwijs en Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek*)

Article 9.10. Doctorate Board

1. A university has a Doctorate Board. The Doctorate Board consists of professors.
2. The Doctorate Board consults with the Board of the University regarding the conferral of the degree referred to in Article 7.19.2.
3. The provisions regarding the duties, composition, and method of appointment of the Doctorate Board are stipulated in the Administrative Regulations.

Article 7.19. PhD regulations; honorary doctorate

1. Subject to the provisions on this matter contained in this Act, the Doctorate Board will establish PhD regulations. These regulations will regulate:
 - a. the procedure relating to preparations for the PhD and relating to the PhD ceremony itself, including the duties and powers of everyone who is or may be involved in the PhD, and
 - b. the provisions concerning the settlement of disputes that may arise relating to the preparation for the PhD and the PhD ceremony itself, and
 - c. if applicable, the procedure relating to Article 7.18.6.

The Doctorate Board is authorized, on the recommendation of the Board of the University, to award honorary doctorates to natural persons in recognition of outstanding achievements.

Article 7.18. Conferral of the degrees of Doctor or Doctor of Philosophy; admission to and organization of the PhD ceremony

1. The Doctorate Board of a university, the Open University, or an ideological university is authorized to award the degree of Doctor or Doctor of Philosophy on the basis of a PhD ceremony. The degrees of Doctor and Doctor of Philosophy are considered equal.
2. Admission to a PhD procedure will be granted to any person:
 - a. who has been awarded a Master's degree on the basis of Article 7.10a.1 or 7.10a.2, and
 - b. has demonstrated their ability to conduct independent academic research by writing a PhD thesis or developing a technological design, and
 - c. has satisfied the requirements set out in the PhD regulations referred to in Article 7.19.
3. In exceptional cases, the Doctorate Board may admit individuals to a PhD programme who meet the requirements set out in Article 7.18.2b or 2c, but not those of Article 7.18.2a.
4. For each PhD candidate, the Doctorate Board will appoint a supervisor. A professor or another staff member of a university, ideological university, or the Open University who has been awarded the degree of Doctor or Doctor of Philosophy, who, in the opinion of the Doctorate Board, has sufficient expertise to act as supervisor may be appointed. The PhD ceremony will take place in the presence of the Board or a committee consisting of professors and other individuals appointed by the Board whom the Board considers sufficiently competent to sit on the committee, with due regard for the PhD regulations referred to in Article 7.19.
5. For the purpose of the application of Article 7.18.4, professors by special appointment at

public universities are regarded as professors of that university.

6. A university may award the degree of Doctor or Doctor of Philosophy jointly with one or more other Dutch or foreign universities on the basis of a PhD procedure. Articles 7.18.1 to 5 will apply *mutatis mutandis*. The universities can make more detailed arrangements regarding the implementation within the provisions of the PhD regulations.

Article 7.10a Conferral of degrees

1. The Board of the University will award the Bachelor's degree to candidates who have successfully passed the final examination of a Bachelor's degree programme and the Master's degree to those who have passed the final examination of a Master's degree programme or post-initial Master's degree programme respectively as referred to in Article 7.3b, a. Depending on the field of the successful final assessment for a Bachelor's degree, a Master's degree or a post-initial Master's degree programme, the degree will be «of Arts» or «of Science». For a programme or group of programmes different additional words can be approved by ministerial order.
2. The Board of the University will award the Associate degree to anyone who has successfully passed the final examination of an associate degree programme in higher professional education, the Bachelor's degree programme to anyone who has successfully passed the final examination of a Bachelor's degree programme in higher professional education and the Master's degree to anyone who has successfully completed a Master's degree programme or a post-initial Master's degree programme as referred to in Article 7.3b, part b, in higher professional education. Depending on the field of the successful final assessment for a Bachelor's degree, a Master's degree or a post-initial Master's degree programme, the degree awarded shall be associated with the addition that has been tested with a positive result pursuant to Article 5a.2, Paragraph 2a(a).
3. The Board of the University may supplement the Associate degree and the Bachelor's or Master's degrees and the addition thereto by stating the discipline or professional field to which the degree relates.

7.3a Associate, Bachelor's and Master's degree programmes

1. Within university education the following programmes are distinguished:
 - a. Bachelor's degree programmes, and
 - b. Master's degree programmes, following on from the Bachelor's degree programmes referred to in a.
2. Within higher professional education the following programmes are distinguished:
 - a. Associate degree programmes
 - b. Bachelor's degree programmes, and
 - c. Master's degree programmes, following on from the Bachelor's degree programmes referred to in b.

Article 7.22 Using the titles Doctor, Doctor of Philosophy or Doctor honoris causa in references to the degree holder's name

1. An individual upon whom a Doctor or Doctor of Philosophy degree has been conferred on the grounds of a PhD procedure as referred to in Article 7.18, or an individual upon whom an honorary Doctor's degree has been conferred by virtue of Article 7.19.2, is entitled to express that degree in references to their own name.
2. An individual who, pursuant to the Article 7.22.1, is entitled to express the degree referred to in that paragraph in references to their own name is also entitled to use the title Doctor of Doctor of Philosophy.
3. The degrees referred to in the first subsection, referred to as D or PhD, follow the individual's name. The titles mentioned in the second subsection are, abbreviated to Dr, placed before the name or abbreviated to PhD, after the name.
4. The person in question will make a choice between adding the degree to their name in references as referred to in the first subsection or using the title as referred to in the second

subsection.

Article 18.37 Admission to PhD procedure for holders of ‘old style’ certificates

A person who met the criterion referred to in Article 7.18.2a on or before 31 August 2002, as those provisions were formulated on 31 August 2002, will have the same rights as a person who meets the criterion referred to in Article 7.18.2a.

Appendix 4

PROTOCOL FOR JOINT AND DOUBLE DOCTORATES WITH FOREIGN PARTNERS

Introduction

In the Netherlands, the conferral of a double doctorate or a joint doctorate is legally possible. In both cases, the PhD programme will be jointly supervised and the partners will be represented in the PhD Examining Committee. In the case of a double doctorate, two certificates will be given; in the case of a joint doctorate, a joint certificate will be given. In both cases, the PhD candidate will be awarded a PhD for the same PhD thesis at both institutions. Awarding a PhD for different versions of a thesis (one for each university) is not allowed.

This protocol sets out the basic requirements for the approval of a double or joint PhD and the procedure which must be followed.

In the Netherlands, the right to confer PhDs is restricted to universities and institutions which have been designated this right by law. Unlike for the initial degree programmes (Bachelor's and Master's), there is no accreditation procedure for PhD programmes. The university safeguards its PhD programmes through its own quality assurance system. PhD programmes and theses are included in research assessments and are externally reviewed. The universities discuss the standards and desired outcome targets at meetings of the Council of University Rectors (Universities of the Netherlands). Self-regulation takes place within that Council. Under the Treaty of Maastricht (subsidiarity principle), teaching and research are matters that are regulated at the national level. The EU can promote collaboration and bring players to the table, for instance through the Erasmus Mundus or Marie Skłodowska-Curie programmes, but may not prescribe regulations for the organization of PhD programmes. As a result, within Europe and outside Europe there are many different views and rules relating to PhD programmes including double and joint doctorates.

Basic requirements for the approval of joint and double PhD

Before candidates start working towards a joint or double PhD, three essential aspects must be taken into account, they include:

- The partner(s)
- Contribution to and organizational context of the research
- Financial aspects.

With respect to these three aspects, the following conditions apply.

Partners

A maximum of three partners can be involved in a joint PhD; as a rule there are two. This is regardless of whether or not the partners are part of a larger consortium.

Joint PhDs are entered into with another university, not with a consortium. It must also be clear which partner will act as the primary supervisor.

In the event of a double doctorate, there is one partner university. The supervisors from the University of Groningen and the partner university are on an equal footing and share the supervision workload equally (50/50).

The partners are chosen explicitly on the basis of their academic quality and advantages. The

International Strategy and Relations department must approve the selected partner university before an agreement for a joint or double doctorate is concluded.

Within the University of Groningen, the following parties are important in connection with a joint or double PhD: the candidate, the supervisor(s) at the University of Groningen, the research institute, the Graduate School, the Dean, the Doctorate Board (which at the University of Groningen is the College of Deans) and the Rector and Board of the University.

The decision as to whether or not a PhD can be a joint PhD (that is, one certificate issued jointly by the partners) is made by the Board of the University. The outstanding quality of research in a certain area as well as the ranking of the partner university in general must be obvious.

Contribution to and organizational context of the research

If a joint or double PhD is to be conferred, the University of Groningen must make at least a proportionate contribution to the research project in relation to the number of partners. It has been agreed in the Council of Rectors that, in the case of a joint or double PhD involving two universities, each university should contribute 50% (as a basic principle). This means that, if possible, the PhD candidate will in fact be working in Groningen during this time.

Financial aspects

Cost and revenue

Clear prior agreements must be reached by the partners involved regarding the cost and revenue associated with the PhD procedure. The principle is that the procedure will at least be cost-neutral for the University of Groningen. These agreements are recorded in a joint or double PhD agreement (see University of Groningen model on My University).

PhD premium

In the Netherlands a PhD premium is awarded upon the successful completion of a PhD. The Ministry of Education, Culture and Science has determined that in the case of a joint or double PhD, the PhD premium will only be awarded if the PhD ceremony actually takes place in the Netherlands. This means that the PhD ceremony must take place at the University of Groningen. In the case of a double doctorate, two PhD ceremonies may take place. In such situations, it is preferred that the first PhD ceremony takes place at the University of Groningen. If the first PhD ceremony should not take place at the University of Groningen, it must be stated in writing that the PhD candidate will also defend their thesis at the University of Groningen.

However, there may be situations in which the partner has an interest in the PhD ceremony being held in their own country, in which case no PhD premium can be claimed. Such cases are permitted provided the joint or double PhD does not result in a negative balance for the University of Groningen. However, any shortfalls should be limited.

Exceptions to this rule are possible only if:

- The partner institution's worldwide ranking is considerably higher than that of the University of Groningen.
- The joint PhD is part of a major, prestigious project (such as the Erasmus Mundus projects or other major EU projects).
- There is some other clear quality advantage for the research group or the faculty (building up a long-term relationship, strengthening reputations *etc.*).

Application procedure for a double or joint PhD

The procedures for applying for and concluding an agreement for a joint or double doctorate programme may be downloaded from MyUniversity:

<https://myuniversity.rug.nl/infonet/medewerkers/faciliteiten-voorzieningen/juridisch-advies/international-collaboration-agreements/double-joint-doctorate-agreements>

Appendix 5

PROTOCOL FOR THE PHD CEREMONY

Below is a summary of the protocol.

1. The PhD ceremony takes place in public, in the presence of the Doctorate Board and/or the PhD Examining Committee, at a time determined when the candidate is admitted to the PhD ceremony.
2. PhD ceremonies are held in the University of Groningen's auditorium at Broerstraat 5 in Groningen. In unforeseen circumstances, PhD ceremonies may be held elsewhere.
3. The discussion is a dispassionate academic discussion. No disturbances from the audience other than opposition are permitted.
4. During the PhD ceremony the Chair, the (co) supervisor(s), members, and guests of the PhD Committee must wear the clothing prescribed by protocol, even if they attend the ceremony online:
 - Professors and associate and assistant professors with the *ius promovendi* who act as the primary supervisor or are part of the PhD Examining Committee: gown and cap; sombre black clothing and black shoes. If a shirt is worn, it must be white.
 - The other committee members wear sombre black clothing and black shoes. If a suit is worn, it must be combined with a white shirt.
 - External professors wear their own gowns and caps. If they do not have their own gown and cap, these professors shall wear a gown and cap on loan from the University of Groningen, or they shall wear sombre black attire and black shoes. If a shirt is worn, it must be white.
 - PhD candidates and the two assistants ('paranymphs') should wear appropriate ceremonial attire. This is preferably a dress suit with white vest and bow-tie, a national costume or other clothing in muted colours.
5. Before the PhD ceremony, the Doctorate Board, chaired by the Rector Magnificus or their deputy, meet in the Curators' Room in question. In this meeting, the Rector Magnificus draws up a list of the opponents, agrees after consulting with them on an order in which they will speak, divides the speaking time and designates a secretary for the committee.
6. The PhD candidate and both assistants must be present about 30 minutes before the beginning of the ceremony and report at the reception in the hall of the Academy Building. The beadle (*pedel*) waits for the PhD candidate and the assistants in the foyer of the Academy Building and takes them to one of the Faculty Rooms. The beadle then gives a short introduction to the protocol to the PhD ceremony. If necessary, they can change in this room, which is locked during the ceremony.
7. A few minutes before the ceremony begins, the beadle takes the members of the PhD Examining Committee, the supervisors, and the co-supervisors to the auditorium. The primary supervisor walks on the right side of the Rector Magnificus.
8. The beadle announces the arrival of the Board in the customary way at the entrance to the auditorium:

‘The Doctorate Board’.

9. The members of the Doctorate Board, the *emeritus* professors, and the guests take their seats; the Rector Magnificus and the secretary behind the left-hand table, the supervisor behind the right-hand table, the opponents as much as possible directly behind those tables in the other seats, the other individuals in the second and subsequent rows behind the two tables. In principle, the caps are taken off. *
10. Then the beadle goes to fetch the PhD candidate and the assistants and brings them to the auditorium. Order: beadle, first paranymp, PhD candidate, second paranymp. The first paranymp may carry the PhD thesis, a writing pad, and pen to make notes and possibly some literature that the PhD candidate may wish to consult during the discussion.
11. In the auditorium, they stand together (with the PhD candidate in the middle) opposite the Rector Magnificus and the Doctorate Board and bow simultaneously, once to the left to the Rector, then once to the right to the supervisor.
12. Then the Rector Magnificus (wearing their cap) stands up and says: ‘Esteemed PhD candidate, the Doctorate Board of this University, represented by us, has read your PhD thesis and the accompanying propositions and we are ready to hear you defend it. Please take your seat.’

The Rector sits down and, in principle, takes off the cap.

13. The PhD candidate takes a seat behind the small table. The documents brought by the first paranymp for the PhD candidate are placed on the table. The assistants take their seats on the chairs reserved for them, the first to the left and to the right of the centre aisle.
14. Then the defence starts, with the Rector Magnificus or their deputy acting as chair.

If questions from the audience have been submitted at least three weeks before the defence, these may be dealt with as follows. The Rector Magnificus invites the opponent or opponents from the room to take a seat behind the table and calls upon them to speak.

After the opponent has asked their question and explained it briefly if necessary, the Rector Magnificus says:

‘Esteemed PhD candidate, I call upon you to answer the question asked concisely’.

After the question from the opponent from the room has been answered, the Rector Magnificus says:

‘I now call upon Professor.....’(etc.)

After exactly 45 minutes, the beadle enters and says in a clear voice: ‘Hora finita’.

The discussion taking place at that moment is concluded as quickly as possible. Then the Rector Magnificus says:

‘The Doctorate Board will withdraw for some time to consider its decision’.

15. The Rector Magnificus will then suspend the ceremony so that the Doctorate Board can consider its verdict. Escorted by the beadle, the PhD Examining Committee and the other members of the procession leave the auditorium. These other members

attend the deliberations of the PhD Examining Committee as observers, unless the Rector Magnificus decides then and there that the deliberations will be closed. The PhD candidate and assistants are escorted back to the Faculty Room by a member of staff of the Porter's Office.

16. In the course of the deliberations, a decision is made regarding the PhD. If a proposal has been made to award the PhD with the *cum laude* distinction, a written ballot is held. Only the members of PhD Committee may vote. The Chair, the supervisor(s) and co-supervisor(s), and the experts may not vote. The proposal is rejected if more than one member votes against it. Members must vote either in favour of or against the proposal. Abstentions are not permitted. At the end of the deliberations, the certificate is signed by the Rector Magnificus, the secretary, and the supervisor(s).
17. After the deliberations, which must be as brief as possible, the beadle escorts the Doctorate Board back to the auditorium. When all have taken their seats, the beadle also escorts the PhD candidate and the assistants back into the auditorium. They make their way to the tables of the Doctorate Board. The PhD candidate stands behind the small table, whilst the assistants take their seats in the chairs reserved for them.
18. As soon as they are standing in front of the Board, the Rector Magnificus puts their cap on and says, standing:

‘Esteemed candidate, the Doctorate Board of this University, represented by us, after reading your PhD thesis and the accompanying propositions and after hearing you defend it, has decided to award you the doctorate at the University of Groningen which you desire.’

If the *cum laude* distinction has been awarded, the Rector Magnificus adds:

‘And because of ...for example, your exceptionally meritorious PhD thesis (and your excellent defence), with the highest distinction from the faculty for your studies, namely *cum laude*.

19. The Rector Magnificus continues:

‘Your (primary) supervisor, Professor, is authorized to award you this honour in the customary fashion.’

The Rector sits down and, in principle, takes off the cap.

20. The supervisor puts their cap on, stands up, and says:
‘I am happy to accept the task assigned to me by the Rector Magnificus.’

After these words, the supervisor in principle takes off their cap to speak to the PhD candidate, starting as follows:

‘However, before performing this task, I would like to address a few personal words to you.’

This is followed by the *laudatio* (maximum of six minutes), given by the supervisor or the day-to-day supervisor.

When this is finished, the supervisor puts their cap back on, invites everyone to stand and, when everyone has risen, says the following:

‘By virtue of the powers vested in us by law, in accordance with the decision of the Doctorate

Board of this University, I, promote you, to the title of Doctor and grant you all the rights associated by law or custom with a doctorate at the University of Groningen.’ Do you, as a Doctor, promise to act in accordance with the Netherlands Code of Conduct for Research Integrity 2018 of the Universities of the Netherlands both now and in the future?’

The PhD candidate replies: ‘I promise.’

After the supervisor has asked those present to take their seats again, the supervisor remains standing and continues:

‘Now that I have performed the task assigned to me, let me be the first to congratulate you on your PhD (with distinction) and to address you as “Doctor”.’

After congratulating the new Doctor, the supervisor returns to their seat, sits down, and, in principle, takes off their cap.

The Rector Magnificus stands up, puts on their cap and says:

‘As proof of this PhD, (awarded with distinction), I will present you with this certificate, signed by the Rector Magnificus, secretary, and supervisor(s) and sealed with the Grand Seal of the University. But before doing so, very learned Scholar, Dr. (name of PhD candidate), it is my pleasant duty to congratulate you, also on behalf of the Doctorate Board of this University, on the degree you have been awarded.’

(Shakes hands with the new Doctor, is given the certificate by the secretary, hands it to the new Doctor, and returns to their seat).

Rector Magnificus: ‘I now declare this ceremony closed.’ (Taps the gavel).

Then the beadle escorts the Doctorate Board to the reception area or, if the reception is not being held in the Academy Building, back to the Faculty Room. A staff member of the Porter’s Office will then escort the new Doctor, the assistants and the family to the reception area or to the Faculty Room.

- 21.** In principle, the ceremony is conducted in Dutch. However, if one or more members of the PhD Committee do not speak Dutch, the majority of the ceremony takes place in English. At the supervisor’s request, the ceremony may be conducted completely in English. This request must be submitted to the Dean.

During the PhD ceremony, the following forms of address will be used:

Chair	: ‘Honourable Rector’
Supervisor	: ‘Highly esteemed supervisor’
Co-supervisor	: ‘Very learned opponens’
Professors	: ‘Highly learned opponens’
Other members of the committee and experts	: ‘Very learned opponens’
PhD candidate	: ‘Esteemed PhD candidate’

Reception

A reception may be held after the PhD ceremony.

Appendix 6

PHD TIMELINE

Action	Timeline	Completed by supervisor/ PhD candidate	Completed by Doctorate Board
Register for PhD programme	Registration after admission to PhD programme	Submit to Admissions Committee	
Draw up Training and Supervision Plan	As soon as possible after being admitted to the PhD programme, but at the latest before starting the PhD programme	PhD candidate and supervisors/co-supervisors draw up TSP and submit it, signed, for approval to the director of the GS, at the latest at the start of the PhD programme	Dean signs TSP and takes care of registration in Hora Finita
Approval of PhD thesis by supervisor(s) + proposal for composition of Assessment Committee	At least 3.5 months before intended date of PhD ceremony	Before granting approval, the supervisor checks whether the research data have been properly handled as detailed in the Research Data Management Plan. Supervisor(s) and co-supervisor(s) register approval in Hora Finita	On the recommendation of the primary supervisor, the Dean sets up Assessment Committee and appoints its members
Set proposed PhD ceremony date	At least 3 months before intended date of PhD ceremony	PhD candidate selects date in PhD ceremony calendar in Hora Finita	Date reserved subject to change
Approval of propositions	At least 2 months before intended date of PhD ceremony	Supervisors and co-supervisors register approval in Hora Finita	
Approval of title page	At least 2 months before intended date of PhD ceremony	PhD candidate submits title page to PhD degree registration office through Hora Finita	PhD degree registration office approves title page through Hora Finita

Announcement of Assessment Committee's decision regarding admission to the PhD ceremony	At least 8 weeks before intended date of PhD ceremony		Dean takes care of registration through Hora Finita, which then notifies PhD candidate, supervisor, PhD degree registration office, and director of GS. PhD thesis can then be printed and date of PhD ceremony is finalized
Possible request for <i>cum laude</i> distinction by supervisor, co-supervisor, or Assessment Committee	At least 8 weeks before intended date of PhD ceremony	Supervisor/Assessment Committee submits request to Faculty Board with recommendations for external referees	Dean takes care of registration in Hora Finita and approaches external referees. This is automatically reported to the PhD degree registration office
Opinion of external referees regarding <i>cum laude</i> registered in Hora Finita	At least 2 weeks before intended date of PhD ceremony	Dean receives referees' opinion <i>via</i> Hora Finita	PhD degree registration office is notified of opinion <i>via</i> Hora Finita.
Send information regarding publicity	At least 5 weeks before intended date of PhD ceremony	PhD candidate submits required information <i>via</i> Hora Finita	
Send printed copies of PhD thesis, accompanying propositions, and title page to the University Library	At least 3 weeks before intended date of PhD ceremony	PhD candidate	
Send printed copies of PhD thesis and accompanying propositions to the Graduate School	At least 3 weeks before intended date of PhD ceremony	PhD candidate	
Send electronic versions of PhD thesis, accompanying propositions and abstracts for non-experts, and licence agreement	At least 3 weeks before intended date of PhD ceremony	PhD candidate submits required information through Hora Finita	
PHD CEREMONY in the presence of the whole committee			

Appendix 7

TITLE PAGE TEMPLATE

Please note: in the Dutch title of the PhD thesis the words are written in lower case except for the first word and any names.



Logo size: 2.03 by 7.38 cm

Title

subtitle (if applicable)

PhD thesis

to obtain the degree of PhD of the
University of Groningen
on the authority of the
Rector Magnificus Prof. J.M.A. Scherpen
and in accordance with
the decision by the College of Deans.

This thesis will be defended in public on

Day of the week ... date ... Month ... year ... at time ... hours

by

(full) Name

born on date ... month ... year

Supervisor(s)

Prof. [initials + surname](#)

Prof. [initials + surname](#)

Co-supervisor(s)

Dr. [initials + surname](#)

Assessment Committee

Prof. [initials + surname](#)

Prof. [initials + surname](#)

Prof. [initials + surname](#)

Please note! Titles are written as follows:

prof. mr. dr.

prof. dr. ing.

prof. dr. ir.

prof. dr.

prof. mr.

dr.

mr. dr.

dr. ir.

dr. ing.

DOUBLE DOCTORATE TITLE PAGE TEMPLATE

Logo University of Groningen

Logo other university

Title

subtitle (if applicable)

PhD thesis

to obtain the degree of PhD at the
University of Groningen
on the authority of the
Rector Magnificus Prof. J.M.A. Scherpen
and in accordance with
the decision by the College of Deans

and

to obtain the degree of PhD at the
name of university
on the authority of the
e.g. President/Dean/name of whomever is responsible from other institution
and in accordance with
the decision by ... name.

Double PhD degree

This thesis will be defended in public on

Day of the week ... date ... Month ... year at time hours

by

Name

born on date ... Month ... year

Supervisor(s)

Prof. [initials + surname](#)

Prof. [initials + surname](#)

Co-supervisor(s)

Dr. [initials + surname](#)

Assessment Committee

Prof. [initials + surname](#)

Prof. [initials + surname](#)

Prof. [initials + surname](#)

JOINT DOCTORATE TITLE PAGE TEMPLATE

Logo University of Groningen

Logo other university

Title

subtitle (if applicable)

PhD thesis

to obtain the joint degree of PhD of the
University of Groningen and [name of other institution](#)

on the authority of the
Rector Magnificus of the University of Groningen
Prof. J.M.A. Scherpen
e.g. [President/Dean/name of whomever is responsible from other institution](#)

and in accordance with
the decision by the College of Deans of the University of Groningen.

This thesis will be defended in public on

[Day of the week ... date ... Month ... year ...](#) at [time ...](#) hours

by

Name

born on [day ... Month ... year ...](#)

Supervisor(s)

Prof. [initials + surname](#)

Prof. [initials + surname](#)

Co-supervisor(s)

Dr. [initials + surname](#)

Dr. [initials + surname](#)

Assessment Committee

Prof. [initials + surname](#)

Prof. [initials + surname](#)

Prof. [initials + surname](#)

Prof. [initials + surname](#)

Appendix 8

EXAMPLE LICENCE AGREEMENT

FOR NON-EXCLUSIVE PUBLICATION IN DIGITAL FORM OF A PHD THESIS DEFENDED AT THE UNIVERSITY OF GRONINGEN VIA THE UNIVERSITY OF GRONINGEN REPOSITORY

With due observance of the supplement to Article 4.7 of the PhD regulations of the University of Groningen.

The PhD candidate

- agrees to make their PhD thesis available worldwide in digital form; this availability is non-exclusive.
- agrees to make the underlying research data available for further research as stipulated in the PhD regulations, Data Management Plan, the Netherlands Code of Conduct for Academic Integrity from 2018 of the Universities of the Netherlands, the UG data policy, and the data policy of the relevant research institute.
- declares that the PhD thesis is their own work.
- declares that the electronic version is identical in content to the printed edition and includes all parts not included in the printed edition for technical and/or logistical reasons (CDs, video, *etc.*).
- declares that, to the best of their knowledge, their PhD thesis does not infringe the intellectual property rights of others.
- declares that if their intellectual property rights to the PhD thesis are transferred to a third party, this third party will stipulate that the latter will maintain the licence and take over this agreement.
- grants this licence for an indefinite period.
- waives the right to terminate this licence by rescinding or dissolution.
- declares that they, for any contribution based on work sponsored or subsidised by an institution or organization other than the University of Groningen, have complied with all obligations in respect of publication imposed by this sponsor, institution or organization.
- declares that they have the right to grant permission as set forth in this licence, subject to the exceptions specified on the next page.

University of Groningen

- declares that it will not transfer the licence to a third party.
- declares that it has received the digital copy of the PhD thesis.
- will convert the PhD thesis into other file formats or media for the purpose of ensuring future digital accessibility, all this without affecting the content.
- will make one or more back-up copies of this PhD thesis and keep them for the sake of security and preservation of the files.
- will, if the publisher objects to the expiry of the embargo referred to on the next page, indemnify the PhD candidate against damage claims by the publisher in this respect.

Explanation:

- If you fully agree to the above and thus to the non-exclusive disclosure, you may skip points 1 to 3 below and sign immediately.
 - Exceptions to the licence agreement: If you do not agree to the above and thus to non-exclusive publication, please tick the relevant points below. Your thesis will then be placed under embargo in its entirety. The embargo covers the physical copy of the thesis in the Library as well as online publications of parts of the thesis or the entire thesis.
1. Material in the thesis has been or will be submitted to a publisher for publication within 12 months. Pending acceptance by a publisher, the entire thesis will be placed under embargo by the University of Groningen Library for 12 months. Unless the author, due to agreements made with a publisher, makes further arrangements with the University of Groningen Library, the Library will make the entire thesis available after the agreed embargo period.
 2. Material in the thesis has been published by a publisher or accepted for publication. The agreements made with the publisher (a copy of which is attached to this agreement) require the full thesis to be placed under embargo for 12 months.
If the thesis is also published as a commercial edition, a copy of the agreement with the publisher must be attached, in which the publisher gives permission for the publication of the electronic version.
 3. If agreements, or the safeguarding of intellectual property rights such as patents, make it desirable or necessary to place the full thesis under embargo for a period longer than 18 months after the date of the PhD defence, the Rector Magnificus of the University of Groningen will determine a reasonable duration of the embargo, having regard to the agreements made with the publisher and/or the UG's IP & Business Development team, and having regard to any explanation provided by the PhD candidate. The PhD candidate must therefore attach a written request to this agreement, stating the reasons and addressed to the Rector Magnificus of the UG. If necessary, such a request may also be submitted at a later date via: dissertations@ug.nl

PhD candidate

Name:

Thesis title:

Date of defence:

Place of signing:

Date of signature:

Signature:

University of Groningen, on behalf of the Board of the University

Name:

Place of signature:

Date of signature:

Signature:

Appendix 9

PUBLICITY

The media would like to be informed about the most important results of PhD research. On the basis of the information provided to them, journalists can determine whether and how they will report on a certain PhD thesis. The University of Groningen considers it important to meet the identified needs of the media. In addition, the Higher Education and Research Act (WHW) emphasises knowledge transfer as an important task of a university.

Therefore, the PhD candidate must upload various summaries for non-experts and answer a questionnaire in Hora Finita (under the Communication tab) no later than five weeks before the date of the PhD ceremony for the Communication Office.

The Communication Office uses this information to

1. determine whether it is desirable to focus attention on the research by means of a separate press release. This happens if research has news value for a wide audience. If this is the case, the Communication Office will contact the PhD candidate. The Communication Office writes this press release in consultation with the PhD candidate. The PhD candidate will not have to write this text themselves.
2. include a short report on the main results of the research in the weekly newsletter for the media. This report will also be written by the Communication Office based on the summary for non-experts and the answers to the questionnaire in Hora Finita.

Publicity for PhD ceremonies of candidates from the Faculty of Medical Sciences is arranged by the Press Office of the University Medical Centre Groningen (UMCG). They will receive the questionnaire and the summary for non-experts *via* the University of Groningen's Communication Office.

Appendix 10

PROCEDURE FOR THE POSTHUMOUS AWARDING OF THE PHD DEGREE

Posthumously awarding a PhD can be considered in the following circumstances:

1. The PhD candidate received approval of the manuscript from the Assessment Committee, but the defence has not taken place yet.
2. The PhD candidate submitted the manuscript to the Assessment Committee, but the assessment has not been completed.
3. A complete manuscript is available that is ready or almost ready for submission to the Assessment Committee and only needs minor corrections.

10.1 Procedure in the event that the PhD candidate has received a positive evaluation by the Assessment Committee

1. A family member or the primary supervisor of the deceased PhD candidate contacts the director of the Graduate School concerned to initiate the procedure for the posthumous awarding of the PhD.
2. The director of the Graduate School and the Dean of the faculty jointly write an advice for the Doctorate Board. This includes:
 - a. the advice of the supervisory team (supervisor(s) and co-supervisor(s)) of the deceased PhD candidate
 - b. clear recommendations whether the manuscript as it is meets the requirements of the PhD regulations.
3. The Doctorate Board decides about the posthumous awarding of the PhD.
4. The Doctorate Board's secretariat writes a letter on behalf of the Rector to inform the family and other parties involved about the decision whether or not the PhD will be awarded posthumously.

10.2 Procedure in the event that the PhD candidate submitted the manuscript to the Assessment Committee, but the assessment has not been completed

1. A family member or the primary supervisor of the deceased PhD candidate contacts the director of the Graduate School concerned to initiate the procedure for the posthumous awarding of the PhD.
2. The director of the Graduate School and the Dean of the faculty jointly write an advice for the Doctorate Board. This includes:
 - a. the advice of the supervisory team of the deceased PhD candidate
 - b. clear recommendations whether the manuscript as it is meets the requirements of the PhD regulations.
3. The Doctorate Board decides whether the procedure for obtaining a PhD as described in the PhD regulations can be continued. This means that the Graduate School invites the Assessment committee to evaluate the manuscript.

4. The Graduate School will inform the Doctorate Board of the result of the evaluation by the Assessment Committee.
5. The Doctorate Board decides about the posthumous awarding of the PhD.
6. The Doctorate Board's secretariat writes a letter on behalf of the Rector to inform the family and other parties involved about the decision whether or not the PhD will be awarded posthumously.

10.3 *Procedure in the event that a complete manuscript is available that is almost ready for submission to the Assessment Committee and only needs minor corrections*

1. A family member or the primary supervisor of the deceased PhD candidate contacts the director of the Graduate School concerned to initiate the procedure for the posthumous awarding of the PhD.
2. The director of the Graduate School and the Dean of the faculty jointly write an advice for the Doctorate Board. This includes:
 - a. the advice of the supervisory team of the deceased PhD candidate
 - b. clear recommendations whether the manuscript as it is (aside from some minor corrections) meets the requirements of the PhD regulations.
3. The Doctorate Board decides whether the procedure for obtaining a PhD as described in the PhD regulations can be continued. This means that an Assessment Committee can be appointed for the evaluation of the manuscript. The supervisory team is allowed to make some minor corrections before the manuscript is presented to the Assessment Committee.
4. The Graduate School will inform the Doctorate Board of the result of the evaluation by the Assessment Committee.
5. The Doctorate Board decides on the posthumous awarding of the PhD.
6. The Doctorate Board's secretariat writes a letter on behalf of the Rector to inform the family and other parties involved about the decision whether or not the PhD will be awarded posthumously.

10.4 *Procedure for the event that a supervisor or co-supervisor dies before the end of the PhD programme*

In the event that a supervisor or co-supervisor dies before the end of the PhD programme, the Dean will appoint a new supervisor or co-supervisor.