Plasticity Genes Do Not Modify Associations Between Physical Activity and Depressive Symptoms
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Objective: Physical activity is inversely associated with depression in adolescents, but the overall associations are fairly weak, suggesting individual differences in the strength of the associations. The aim of this study was to investigate whether plasticity genes modify the reciprocal prospective associations between physical activity and depressive symptoms found previously. Methods: In a prospective population-based study (N = 1,196), physical activity and depressive symptoms were assessed three times, around the ages of 11, 13.5, and 16. Structural Equation Modeling was used to examine reciprocal effects of physical activity and depressive symptoms over time. The plasticity genes examined were 5-HTTLPR, DRD2, DRD4, MAOA, TPH1, 5-HT2A, COMT, and BDNF. A cumulative gene plasticity index consisting of three groups (low, intermediate, and high) according to the number of plasticity alleles carried by the adolescents was created. Using a multigroup approach, we examined whether the associations between physical activity and depressive symptoms differed between the three cumulative plasticity groups, as well as between the individual polymorphisms. Results: We found significant cross-sectional and cross-lagged paths from physical activity to depressive symptoms and vice versa. Neither the cumulative plasticity index nor the individual polymorphisms modified the strengths of these associations. Conclusion: Associations between adolescents’ physical activity and depressive symptoms are not modified by plasticity genes.
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Individuals carrying specific genotypes have a higher sensitivity to environmental influences than others (Belsky et al., 2009). Although research initially focused on the negative consequences of these genotypes in the context of the classic diathesis-stress framework, more recent work stresses the possibility that individuals who suffer most from negative experiences might also benefit most from environmental support or the absence of adversity (Belsky & Beaver, 2011; Ellis, Boyce, Belsky, Bakermans-Kranenburg, & van IJzendoorn, 2011). This “for better and for worse” manner in which individuals are more responsive to environment.
ronmental influences suggests that individuals who carry specific
genotypes might benefit more from positive environmental influ-
ences (such as family or social support) in early life, while they
might also be more vulnerable to negative environmental influ-
ences (such as child maltreatment and negative life events) in
developing psychopathology later on. Genes thought to be respon-
sible for this differential susceptibility have been labeled plasticity
genotypes.

Belsky and Pluess (2009) proposed several genes to operate as
plasticity genes including the serotonin transporter gene (SCL6A4),
the dopamine receptor 2 and 4 genes (DRD2 & DRD4),
the dopamine transporter gene (DAT1), the serotonin 2a receptor gene
(5HT2A), the catechol-O-methyl transferase gene (COMT), the
monoamine oxidase gene (MAOA), and the tryptophan hydroxylase
1 gene (TPH1). Although these genes are not the only likely
candidates, they have been shown most consistently to act as
plasticity genes in the literature (for a review, see Belsky & Pluess,
2009). Belsky and Pluess proposed a cumulative genetic plasticity
model, in which the susceptibility to particular environmental
influences is hypothesized to increase with increasing numbers of
plasticity alleles (Belsky et al., 2009; Belsky & Beaver, 2011).

In adults, inverse associations between physical activity (PA) and
depressive symptoms have been shown previously (Penedo &
Dahn, 2005), supporting the idea that engaging in PA can at least
prevent the development of depressive symptoms. In adolescents,
fewer studies have investigated this relationship, and the results
have been inconsistent so far (Johnson & Taliaferro, 2011). A recent
prospective study in older adults demonstrated reciprocal
inverse relationships between PA and depressive symptoms (Lind-
wall, Larssen, & Hagger, 2011). They found that there was a
stronger relationship between PA predicting later depressive
symptoms than between depressive symptoms predicting later
engagement in PA. We also have reported reciprocal prospective
associations between PA and depressive symptoms in a sample of
Dutch adolescents (Stavrakakis, de Jonge, Ormel, & Oldehinkel,
2011). Associations were measured using a cross-lagged path
analysis model involving three measurements. The associations
found were significant but rather weak, indicating a high
amount of unexplained variance. Part of this unexplained vari-
bility may be because of individual differences in both the
potential benefits of PA in alleviating depressive symptoms and
the negative effects of depressive symptoms on PA levels. A
better understanding of these individual differences is needed
to design effective interventions for treating affective disorders.

We hypothesized that the plasticity genes might underlie part of
the assumed individual differences in the reciprocal associations
between PA and depressive symptoms. In their review, Belsky and
Pluess (2009), proposed a theoretical framework in which they
suggest that these individuals might have lower thresholds for
experiencing pleasure and/or displeasure that might result in
differential susceptibility to environmental influences. Although this
is speculative, two major neurobiological mediating mechanisms
have been identified, namely the serotonergic (experience of dis-
pleasure) and dopaminergic systems (reward sensitivity and sen-
sation seeking). These two systems have been implicated in the
etiology of depression (Lapin & Oxenkrug, 1969; van Praag &
Korf, 1970) but also have been shown to be influenced by PA
(Dishman et al., 2006). Alterations in serotonin and noradrenaline
levels have been suggested to be the main reason for the beneficial
effects of PA on stress-resilience and mood (Dishman et al., 2006).
Evidence for an effect of PA on the dopaminergic system comes
mainly from animal research where treadmill running increased the
release (Meeusen, Piacentini, & De Meirleir, 2001) and turn-
over of dopamine (Hattori, Naoi, & Nishino, 1994) in the striatum
of rats. Increasing dopamine levels is known to be an effective way
to improve mood (Lemke, Brecht, Koester, & Reichmann, 2006).
Therefore, these plasticity genes might modify the reciprocal
association between PA and depressive symptoms through their
differential action on the serotonergic and dopaminergic systems.

To date, only three studies have tried to elucidate whether
specific genotypes may be associated with the effects of PA on
depressive symptoms in humans. Rethorst, Landers, Nagoshi, and
Ross (2010) showed that after 30 min of exercise, carriers of a long
allele of the 5-HTTLPR exhibited greater reduction in depressive
symptoms than individuals with two short alleles. In a cross-
sectional study, Rethorst, Landers, Nagoshi, and Ross (2011)
demonstrated that the 5-HTTLPR gene modified the relationship
between PA and depressive symptoms in a sample of 170 students.
Finally, Mata, Thompson and Gottlib (2010) conducted a study in
adolescent girls, and found that PA involvement reduced depressive
symptoms in carriers of the Brain Derived Neurotrophic Factor
(BDNF) met allele, but not in carriers of the val/val poly-
morphism. BDNF is a growth factor which is encoded by the
BDNF gene and is abundant throughout the human body. Belsky
et al. did not include the BDNF gene in their list of plasticity genes.
However, because BDNF seems to be related to both PA (Goekint
et al., 2010; Knaepen, Goekint, Heyman, & Meeusen, 2010) and
depressive symptoms (Hashimoto, 2010; Verhagen et al., 2010),
the BDNF gene was considered a plausible modifier of the recip-
rocral association between PA and depressive symptoms, and there-
fore was included in our study as well.

The aim of the present study was to investigate whether plas-
ticity genes modified the reciprocal prospective associations be-
 tween PA and depressive symptoms for several reasons. First, it
is important to extensively test robustness of gene environment in-
teractions (G × E), as this field seems to be plagued by noncon-
firmations. For example, the G × E between the short 5-HTTLPR
allele and adversity on depression first reported by Caspi, Hariri,
Holmes, Uher, and Moffitt (2003) has not been confirmed in two
meta-analyses (Munafo, Durrant, Lewis, & Flint, 2009; Risch
et al., 2009), but has been justified in a later qualitative review (Caspi
et al., 2010) and in the most recent meta-analysis (Karg, Burmeister,
Shedden, & Sen, 2011) . Thus, for the advancement in the field
of G × E regarding PA and depressive symptoms, confirmation
studies are of utmost importance. Second, previous investigations
focused only on the unidirectional effects of PA on depressive
symptoms (Mata et al., 2010; Rethorst et al., 2010; Rethorst et al.,
2011). We extend this line of research by investigating possible
G × E in the reciprocal association between PA and depressive
symptoms using previously developed cross-lagged models in a
multigroup analysis. Third, we extend the G × E literature regard-
ing PA and depressive symptoms by using an index of cumulative
plasticity (Belsky & Beaver, 2011; Belsky et al., 2009; Belsky
& Pluess, 2009). Summarizing, in order to test whether the associa-
tions between PA and depressive symptoms were moderated by
 genetic polymorphisms, we performed multigroup analyses with
regard to both cumulative plasticity index and individual polymor-
phisms on cross-lagged models of PA and depressive symptoms.
Methods and Materials

Design

Data were used from the TRacking Adolescents’ Individual Lives Survey (TRAILS), a prospective cohort (three assessment waves) of Dutch adolescents. Permission for the study was obtained from the Dutch Central Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects. Further information on TRAILS objectives, main design, data collection, sample selection, and nonresponse can be found elsewhere (de Winter et al., 2005; Huisman et al., 2008). Parents gave informed consent in the first wave, while for the second and third wave informed consent was obtained from both the parents and the participants themselves.

Procedure

During the first assessment wave, parents or guardians were visited by TRAILS interviewers in their homes and were administered an interview. In addition, they were also asked to complete a questionnaire. During the second and third wave, parents had to complete a questionnaire which was sent through the mail. The adolescents filled out self-report questionnaires at school. Further information on TRAILS procedures can be found elsewhere (de Winter et al., 2005; Huisman et al., 2008).

Participants

In the first assessment wave (T1) 2,230 adolescents from a possible 2,935 (51% girls, mean age = 11.08, SD = 0.59) agreed to take part in TRAILS. The response rates at the second wave and third wave were 96.4% (N = 2,149; 51% girls, mean age = 13.65, SD = 0.53) and 81.4% (N = 1,816; 53.2% girls, mean age = 16.27, SD = 0.73) respectively. For the present study only participants with complete genetic data were included (N = 1,196, 52.3% girls). Individuals that did not have both parents born in the Netherlands were also excluded from the final analysis, because these single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) can differ between ethnic groups. This focus sample was still representative of a normal population of adolescents and the problems observed therein.

Measures

Depressive symptoms. Adolescents filled out the Affective Problems scale of the Youth Self Report (Achenbach, 1991b) for each assessment wave at school. Parents completed the parent version of the YSR the Child Behavior Checklist (Achenbach, 1991a) at home. The mean scores of the YSR and CBCL scales were used in the analyses. The Affective Problem scale contains 13 items which correspond to Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM–IV) depressive symptoms (van Lang, Ferdinand, Oldehinkel, Ormel, & Verhulst, 2005). These items include sadness, loss of pleasure, crying, self-harm, suicidal ideation, feelings of worthlessness, guilt, loss of energy, overtiredness, eating problems, and sleeping problems; and are scored on a 3-point scale (0 = never, 1 = sometimes true, and 2 = very often). One of the sleep items (“I sleep more than most kids”) was excluded because exclusion of this item increased the internal consistency of the scale (Bosch et al., 2009). Scores on the remaining 12 items were averaged to construct a total Depressive symptoms scale with an internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha) of 0.74 at T1, of 0.81 at T2, and 0.86 at T3.

PA. T1 adolescents were asked to rate how many times per week they performed physical exercise (e.g., swimming, playing football, horse-riding) on a 5-point scale (0 = never, 1 = once per week, 2 = two to three times per week, 3 = four to five times per week, and 4 = five to six times per week). At T2 and T3, separate questions were asked for PA during the summer and the winter: “How many days in an average week in the summer/winter do you take part in physical activities?” and the responses were scored on an 8-point scale (0 = never to 7 = seven days per week). The answers to these two questions were averaged, and mean scores were used in the analysis. T1 responses (ranging from 0–4) were recoded into an 8-point scale using the monotonic R7 transform recommended by Little to achieve a similar metric for the PA measures at all measurement waves (Little, in press).

Plasticity Genes

The list of plasticity genes as proposed by Belsky and Pluess (2009) include DRD2, DRD4, SHH, MAOA, TPH1, 5-HTTR2A, COMT, and the DAT1. In our study, DAT1 was excluded because it was not genotyped, while the BDNF gene was included for reasons explained in the Introduction. Following the criteria set by Belsky and Pluess (2009), the A1 allele of DRD2, the long version of DRD4 (from 7 repeats to 10 repeats), the short allele of 5-HTTLPR, the 2R3R alleles of MAOA, the A allele of TPH1, the T allele of the 5-HTR2A, the val (G) allele of COMT, and the met allele of BDNF were defined as plasticity alleles.

DNA Extraction

Blood samples (n = 1,190) or buccal swabs (Cythobrush) (n = 275) were collected for DNA extraction using a manual salting out procedure as described by Miller, Dykes, and Polesky (1988).

Genotyping of BDNF, DRD2, COMT, TPH1, and 5-HTR2A

The BDNF SNP (rs6265), DRD2/TaqIA (rs1800497), COMT val158met (rs4680), TPH1 (rs179913), and the 5-HTR2A (rs6313) were genotyped on the Golden Gate Illumina BeadStation 500 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Call rates were: 81% for BDNF, 100% for DRD2, 95% for COMT, 100% for TPH1, and 100% for 5-HTR2A. All DNA samples could be amplified, and concordance between DNA replicates was done at 100% genotyping accuracy (Nederhof et al., 2010). Data cleaning was completed according to recommended procedures (Stephoto, 2010). All SNPs were well within Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, with HWE p values ranging between .42 and .52.

Genotyping Length Polymorphisms of 5-HTTLPR, DRD4, and MAOA

Genotyping of the length polymorphisms (LP) DRD4, MAOA, HTTLP, and SNP rs25331 (A/G SNP in L HTTLP) was done at
the Research lab for Multifactorial Diseases within the Human Genetics department of the Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre in Nijmegen, The Netherlands. Genotyping of the HTTLPR polymorphism in the promoter region of SLC6A4 (5-HTT, SERT) was performed by simple sequence length analysis. Call rate was 91.6%. A custom-made TaqMan assay (Applied Biosystems) was utilized to genotype the single nucleotide substitution (A to G), which is present in the HTTLPR long (l) allele (rs25531). Call rate was 96.5%. Concordance between DNA replicates showed an accuracy of 100%. All lg alleles were recoded into s’, because it has been shown that this polymorphism represents low serotonin expression comparable to the s’ allele, while l was recoded as l’ (Nederhof et al., 2010). The 48 bp direct repeat polymorphism in exon 3 of DRD4 was genotyped on the Illumina BeadStation 500 platform (Illumina). Three percent blanks as well as duplicates between plates were taken along as quality controls during genotyping. Determination of the length of the alleles was performed by direct analysis on an automated capillary sequencer (ABI3730, Applied Biosystems, Nieuwekerk a/d IJssel, The Netherlands) using standard conditions. Call rate for DRD4 was 99.4%. The 30bp variable number of tandem repeat polymorphism (called MAOA-LPR or MAOA-uVNTR) was also genotyped on the Illumina BeadStation 500 platform. Three percent blanks as well as duplicates between plates were taken along as quality controls during genotyping. Call rate was 100% for MAOA. All polymorphisms were well within Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE p values ranged from 0.77 to 0.87).

Cumulative Genetic Plasticity Index

Each polymorphism was assigned one point if a plasticity genotype was present and these values were summed to create a cumulative index. Girls who were heterozygous for the MAOA gene, which is located on the X chromosome, were categorized into the low activity (i.e., high plasticity) genotype as proposed by Belsky et al. (Belsky & Beaver, 2011). Three groups were created according to the number of plasticity genotypes present: a low group (high plasticity in 0–3 genes), intermediate group (high plasticity in 4–5 genes), and a high group (high plasticity in 6–8 genes). Initially, the low group was defined as individuals carrying 0 to 1 plasticity genotypes, but because of insufficient power (n = 22) we merged this group with the individuals carrying two or three plasticity genotypes. The three groups were of unequal size, with the intermediate group having the most individuals. We expected the intermediate group to be the most heterogeneous with regard to each of the individual genes, therefore we deemed necessary to create relatively equally sized groups for the high and lowest plasticity groups.

Covariates

Gender and socioeconomic status (SES) were included as covariates. Five standardized variables (professional occupation and educational attainment for both father and mother, and household income) were averaged and used to calculate SES. The lowest 25% of scores were considered as “low SES,” the highest 25% as “high SES,” and the remaining were grouped as “intermediate SES.”

Analysis

Imputation of missing data. A detailed account of attrition rates within the TRAILS study can be found elsewhere (Huisman et al., 2008). Multiple imputations were performed for all variables except for the missing genotyping data (N = 1,196 at all three measurement waves) using STATA version 10 (STATA corp., College Station, TX). Five different datasets (Rubin, 1996) were created and were subsequently imported into Mplus 5 (Muthén & Muthén, 2007) to be used in the analysis.

Cross-lagged path model. A cross-lagged path model (Structural Equation Modeling) using Mplus was created to investigate cross-sectional and prospective associations between PA and depressive symptoms. Using this model we estimated the direction of the prospective effect (cross-lagged) between PA and depressive symptoms but also the autoregressive paths (relative stability over time) and the cross-sectional covariances (interpreted as correlations at T1 and as correlated change at T2 and T3). Correlated change and cross-lagged paths reflect longitudinal relationships. Because of positive skewness of the depression variables, they were log transformed prior to analysis. The cross-lagged path model was also adjusted for gender and SES. A Comparative Fit Index (CFI) and Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) greater than 0.95 and a Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) lower than 0.05 were considered a good model fit.

Cumulative plasticity index and individual genes comparisons. A cross-lagged path model was specified for the three groups based on the cumulative plasticity index. To test whether there was a group difference in the reciprocal associations between PA and depressive symptoms, we compared a model where the cross-sectional paths at T1 and the cross-lagged paths were constrained to be equal between groups with a model where these paths were allowed to differ. To test whether the individual polymorphisms affect the reciprocal association between PA and depressive symptoms a similar design was used for each of the individual genes. A χ² difference test was performed to test whether the differences between the models reached statistical significance. To avoid possible chance findings because of multiple testing, a significant χ² difference score at the .01 level was considered a significant difference between groups.

Results

Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics of the main variables used in this study are shown in Table 1. Both depressive symptoms and PA remained stable over time. Frequencies for the cumulative plasticity index and the frequencies for the different genotypes in individual genes are shown in Table 2.

Relationships Between Depression and PA

Relationships between the total Depressive symptoms score and PA are shown in Figure 1 (overall model fit, χ² = 6.445, p > .05, df = 6). Fit indices showed an excellent fit of model (CFI = 0.999, TLI = 0.999, RMSEA = 0.008), which was significantly better than the fit of the model without cross-lagged paths from PA to depressive symptoms (χ² difference = 6.825, df = 1, p < .05) and
the model without cross-lagged paths from depressive symptoms to PA ($\chi^2$ difference = 8.333, $df = 1$, $p < .05$). As in our previous article with more participants, all cross-lagged paths were significant, indicating that depressive symptoms at any wave predicted PA at the next and vice versa. The autoregressive estimate of depression was higher than the autoregressive estimate of PA. The cross-sectional association (and correlational change) between PA and depression was significant at all assessment waves.

Multigroup Analysis

The multigroup analysis for the three different putative plasticity groups (low, intermediate, and high) is shown in Table 3. Because the middle group was expected to be more heterogeneous with regard to each of the individual genes, we first compared the highest group against the lowest group ($\chi^2$ unconstrained = 9.456, $df = 12$, $\chi^2$ constrained = 16.897, $df = 16$) and then compared all groups with each other ($\chi^2$ unconstrained = 16.709, $df = 18$, $\chi^2$ constrained = 24.728, $df = 26$). The fit indices for both models were excellent (CLI = 0.998, TLI = 0.995, RMSEA = 0.015). As seen in Table 3, the $\chi^2$ difference test was not significant at the .01 level.

Individual Polymorphisms

We carried out the same multigroup analysis approach for each of the individual polymorphisms, comparing the putative plasticity alleles against the nonplasticity alleles. Table 3 depicts the $\chi^2$ difference test for each individual polymorphism. No significant differences were found, just a trend ($p = .03$) for MAOA.

Discussion

The results of this study demonstrate reciprocal associations between PA and depressive symptoms consistent with previous studies (Lindwall et al., 2011; Stavrakakis et al., 2011) in larger samples. This suggests that early engagement in PA precedes a decrease in later depressive symptoms and vice versa.

This was the first study using a cumulative plasticity index as proposed by Belsky et al. as a moderator in the association between PA and depressive symptoms. According to Belsky and Pluess, the cumulative plasticity index assumes an additive effect of these genes, where the susceptibility to environmental influences is hypothesized to increase with increasing numbers of plasticity alleles. These genes play a role, through the translation and transcription of specific proteins, in the formation and degradation of serotonin and dopamine. Both of these neurotransmitters are affected by PA and physical inactivity. They also have been implicated in the etiology of depression, therefore making them primary candidates for modifying the reciprocal association between PA and depressive symptoms. However, in the current study, we did not find support for any modifying effects of the
cumulative plasticity index on the associations between PA and depressive symptoms.

We then proceeded in investigating the role of individual polymorphisms on the association of PA and depressive symptoms. To date, there are only three published studies investigating modifying effects of specific individual polymorphisms on the association between PA and depressive symptoms (Mata et al., 2010; Rethorst et al., 2010; Rethorst et al., 2011). The two studies by Rethorst and colleagues investigated the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism, while the study by Mata and colleagues investigated the BDNF polymorphism. All three studies found an influence of specific genotypes (the carriers of met allele for BDNF and the carriers of the short allele for 5-HTTLPR) on the relationship between PA and depressive symptoms. None of these findings were confirmed in our study. Both the BDNF and 5-HTTLPR genotypes as well as the other individual genes that we investigated did not modify the reciprocal association between PA and depressive symptoms in our sample.

This lack of modifying effect could be down to a number of reasons. First, perhaps these plasticity genes are not influencing the relationship between PA and depressive symptoms at all, contrary to our expectations. It is possible that other genes acting on different neurotransmitter systems, such as the endorphin system (Fox, 1999; Hegadoren, O’Donnell, Lanius, Coupland, & Lacaze-Masmonteil, 2009) or the endocannabinoid system (Sparling, Giuffrida, Piomelli, Rosskopf, & Dietrich, 2003), might be playing a more important role in influencing the relationship between PA and depressive symptoms. Second, it is possible that plasticity genes play a role on the association between PA and depressive symptoms but the design chosen in this study was not appropriate to reveal these effects. This could be either because of measurement error (see later section on strengths and limitations for further information) or because the measurement waves were not close enough to capture the processes involved in the interrelationships of plasticity, PA and depressive symptoms.

In animal research the ability to remain active (through free wheel running) is thought to be an enriching environment with numerous benefits on behavior and physiology. In humans, PA can be considered a supportive or an enriching experience, especially when individuals start exercising from a very early age and find it a pleasurable experience, but that is still a matter of debate. In our study, we did not find an effect of early engagement in exercise (at the age of 12) on depressive symptoms and vice versa in individuals carrying more plasticity genotypes compared to individuals carrying less. However, to investigate this plasticity theory in depth, the effects of even earlier (early childhood) exercise participation on depres-
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Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymorphism</th>
<th>( \chi^2 ) diff = ( \chi^2 ) con. – ( \chi^2 ) uncon.</th>
<th>df diff = df con. – df uncon.</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative plasticity (high vs. low of 3 groups)</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative plasticity (3 groups)</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual polymorphisms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDNF</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HTTLPR</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRD2</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAOA</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HTR2A</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMT</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRD4</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPH1</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Associations between depressive symptoms and physical activity (PA) over time. The path coefficients reflect model estimated standardized beta values. The effects are adjusted for gender and socioeconomic status (SES). * p < .05.
sive symptoms should be investigated in individuals carrying these plasticity genotypes. Finally, the exact functioning of certain polymorphisms is not entirely clear. For example, with respect to the \textit{TPH1} gene previous studies have produced mixed results of which allele might be acting as the plasticity allele. Belsky et al. (2009) assumed that carrying the A allele is the putative plasticity genotype while other studies have suggested that the A allele might be playing a protective role against MDD irrespective of positive environmental influences (Vikki et al., 2010). \textit{MAOA} is another example of this complexity. The \textit{MAOA} gene is only found at the X chromosome, which makes the grouping of females more troublesome than the grouping for males.

Further research on the exact function of these genes on the neurotransmitter systems and the effects of exercise on the human biology is needed in order to discover important genetic and biological markers of the association between PA and depressive symptoms. Epistatic interactions (gene-gene) must be also studied extensively. Although studies on epistasis might be complicated and difficult to conduct, the results might be informative in relation to individual differences behind the reciprocal associations between PA and depressive symptoms.

There are some notable strengths in this study. First, the sample size which was considerably larger than previous studies mentioned. Second, the longitudinal design (3 measurements) allowed for the detection and investigation of changes between PA and depressive symptoms over a long period of time (6 years). Finally, the model fit was excellent, modeling the reciprocal relationship between PA and depressive symptoms in our data with great accuracy.

However, there are also limitations. First, most of the analysis relied on self-reports. Self-reports are not as reliable as more objective measurements of PA, such as, calculation of Metabolic Equivalent of Task (METs) in minutes by accelerometers. In addition, PA was assessed by a single question which was slightly different at T1 than at the last two waves, unlike validated PA questionnaires (e.g., IPAQ) which include a series of detailed questions of PA involvement. At T2 and T3 separate questions for winter and summer were asked and more response categories were used compared to T1. Moreover, information on the nature (leisure-time, voluntary or spontaneous), intensity or duration of PA were not collected. This type of information may prove useful for the advancement of genetic differences between individuals is necessary for designing effective treatments for affective disorders. However, at this time we did not find support for the notion that plasticity genes may moderate the reciprocal association between depressive symptoms and PA.
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