Bioethanol production is one pathway for crude oil reduction and environmental compliance. Bioethanol can be used as fuel with significant characteristics like high octane number, low cetane number and high heat of vaporization. Its main drawbacks are the corrosiveness, low flame luminosity, lower vapor pressure, miscibility with water, and toxicity to ecosystems. One crucial problem with bioethanol fuel is the availability of raw materials. The supply of feedstocks for bioethanol production can vary season to season and depends on geographic locations. Lignocellulosic biomass, such as forest-based woody materials, agricultural residues and municipal waste, is prominent feedstock for bioethanol due to its high availability and low cost, even though the commercial production has still not been established. In addition, the supply and the attentive use of microbes render the bioethanol production process highly peculiar. Many conversion technologies and techniques for biomass-based ethanol production are under development and expected to be demonstrated. In this work a technological analysis of the biochemical method that can be used to produce bioethanol is carried out and a review of current trends and issues is conducted.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, the depletion of fossil fuels and the environmental compliance regarding the greenhouse gases has attracted the interest in non-conventional fuel from bioresources [1,2,3,4,5]. For the past few years, the biomass-based ethanol has caught the attention of global industry. According to the Renewable Fuels Association [6], United States (U.S.) and Brazil are the pioneer countries in global bioethanol production with a percentage of approximately 90%. The involvement of several countries has already begun in new pathway development for biogasoline from biomass [7]. Wheals et al. [8] refer that in North America, bioethanol is primarily provided from starch sources (corn starch) while in South America is mostly extracted from sugars (sugarcane juice) and molasses [8,9].

On the other side, the European countries focus on biodiesel and biogasoline production which exceeds 50% of the global production cause of engines development and feedstocks supply costs [10,11,12,13,14]. Despite the fact that most of the countries in the world, China, India and Japan continue to invest in technologies from agricultural residues and appear as future producers [15,16,17,18,19]. Although bioethanol based on corn and sugar is an encouraging replacement to gasoline in transportation sector, the amount produced is insufficient with respect to the annual consuming amount worldwide. There is no black-and-white answer to the question of what constitutes the most suitable feedstock for the bio-based economy. Generally, sugars, oils and proteins can be used in many applications. The concern for the food security has globally increased the interest of researchers to focus on alternative feedstocks [20,21,22].

The nova Institute of Germany claims that lignocellulosic resources are favorable in terms of environmental sustainability and food security as they do not antagonize food crops and animal feed as renewable substrate for bioethanol production [23,24]. Moreover, the availability of lignocellulosic materials in industrial-scale basis is increased cause of the exploitation of industrial wastes and agricultural residues [25,26,27]. Lignocellulosic wastes are a promising feedstock considering its availability and low cost. The utilization of corn stover, rice, wheat and sugarcane bagasse is gaining significant importance worldwide [28,29,30,31].

Nonetheless, the recalcitrant structure of lignocellulose requires high capital cost processing. Therefore, these technologies are not economically achievable [32,33]. During the decomposition of lignocellulosic material, it must be considered that α-xylose is the second important sugar which has to be broken down as is found in high portion in the feedstock [34]. The conversion of biomass to ethanol has 4 main steps: pretreatment, hydrolysis, fermentation and distillation. During the last decades genetic engineering and enzymatic processing have provided significant improvements in all of the four steps of ethanol production and making capable to ferment different sugars concurrently [35,36,37]. Even though there is a wide range of bacteria, they cannot all be adapted to saccharification process conditions and several bacteria produce low ethanol yields. For this reason, subtle improvements are sometimes required [38].

The microbial contamination is a crucial problem in bioethanol production process. Bacterial infections occur during bioethanol fermentation which consume nutrients necessary for the fermentation itself and it is possible to produce toxic products too. Both of these situations can negatively affect the bioethanol yield [39,40]. The formation of inhibitory by-products during the biofuel production must be taken into account. Pienkos and Zhang [41] refer that pretreatment and conditioning processes release toxic compounds into the hydrolysate which inhibit the bacteria growth and decrease the ethanol yield. The mechanism/methodology applied for biomass pretreatment influences the relevant toxicity rate [41,42]. This review examines recent technologies and trends that are used in lignocellulosic bioethanol production. It also provides a summary of the current problems and barriers concerning the different pathways and analyses potential issues and trends of biotechnological conversion performance.

2. Current status

In 2014, the global production of bioethanol reached 24.5 billion gal, up from 23.4 billion gal in 2013 which shows the international bioethanol market is at a very dynamic stage [43]. More than half (about 60%) of global bioethanol production is based on sugar cane conversion and the rest (40%) comes from other crops [44]. United States and Brazil are the global producers as they produce more than 70% of the global bioethanol production (Table 1).

Even the main source for bioethanol production is considered to be the corn from US and sugar cane from Brazil, any country with agro-industrial economy can be involved in bioethanol fermentation. This is feasible cause of the current progress in bioconversion of non-food crops in large scale production [46] (Fig.1).

In Europe the biochemical pathways show a crucial potential for research development in conjunction with the progress in biorefineries. It is important to clarify that several technologies are under development such as the SSCF technology which gains space in biotechnology research area. Research requires effort to solve problems concerning process improvement and confront challenges regarding the overall efficiency of a biorefinery [47]. It was also reported in 2009 that notwithstanding the global economic-constraints, bioethanol production continues to increase and to support significantly to the global development [48].

3. Lignocellulosic sources and composition

3.1. Raw materials and characteristics

Sustainable biofuel production in Europe can be met with lignocellulosic biomass usage [49]. There is a wide variety of raw materials that are discerned by their makeup, structure and process-ability. In North America most cultivated land comprises (Table 2).

The land cultivation is mainly based on forestland (around 35%), grazed land (27%) as well as crop lands (19%) which constitute approximately 9.0 million km² [51,52,53]. Forest sources include
woody biomass consisting mainly of residues or by-products from manufacturing processes, biomass plantations, agricultural residues (trees and branches) [54,55]. Cellulose materials can also be collected from municipal and industrial wastes which include food residues and pulping sludge [56,57].

3.1.1. Forest woody sources

According the taxonomical division of woody materials, there are two species: softwoods and hardwoods. Softwoods are gymnosperms and originate from coniferous trees including pines, spruces and firs. Hardwoods are angiosperms and originate from deciduous trees including oaks, maples and birches [59].

Fig. 2 shows the type of forest biomass that can be supplied globally. Forest biomass represents a valuable feedstock cause of its composition (more lignin and less ash content than agricultural residues). Forestry wastes like wood chips, branches, and sawdusts have also been used as bioethanol feedstocks [60].

3.1.2. Agricultural and municipal solid wastes (MSW)

Agricultural residues are a widespread lignocellulosic biomass source available in many countries. The available amount of agro-residues is estimated to be 1010 Mt. globally, which corresponds to an energy value of 47 EJ [61]. Crops residues consist of an extensive variety of types. They are mostly comprised of agricultural wastes such as corn stover, corn stalks, rice and wheat straws as well as sugarcane bagasse [62]. Crop residues contain more hemicellulosic material than woody biomass (approximately 25–35%) [63]. Besides from environmental point of view, agricultural residues help to avoid non-sustainable cutting trees decreasing the phenomenon of deforestation [30].

Moreover, municipal and industrial solid wastes are also a prospective pathway for biofuels production [64]. Li [65] studied that integrated bioconversion of cellulose-enriched municipal solid waste offers promising alternatives but the processing cost is still high. However, their utilization associated with the disposal of garbage, organic waste and household by-products has to be considered in case of environmental effects [66]. Even though intensifying crop management is applied to improve yields, the high cost of biomass still remains a crucial constraint [67]. According to this study the available amount of biomass for 30% petroleum-based gasoline displacement will almost meet the target of 2030 [68].

3.1.3. Marine algae

Since the 1970s special interest has existed in marine algae as third generation biofuel feedstock but the research was discontinued when funding stopped. Particularly the research has focused on examination of its production efficiency per acre including water consumption and estimation of by-products during ethanol production [62]. Even though exists progress in algae development commercial applications are still limited during the 20th century. Currently, algae conversion is regaining interest as future biofuel feedstock in order to replace energy crops and cover any limitations in supply.

Marine algae are a suitable raw material for several chemical processes especially due to biorefineries expansion that aims at the production of different substances such as biofuels (i.e. bioethanol, biodiesel, biogasoline etc.) and other value-added chemicals [69]. Rodolfi et al. [70] state that algae feedstock can provide 60 times more alcohol than soybeans per acre of land. According to the study of Ferrel and Sarisky-Reed [71] algae can provide ten-fold the amount of ethanol than corn per growing area. Harel [72] refers that algae are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</em></td>
<td>–Alcohol yield up to 90%</td>
<td>–Not able to ferment xylose and arabinose sugars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–High tolerance to chemical inhibitors and to ethanol (10% v/v)</td>
<td>–Not able to survive at high temperature of hydrolysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–Naturally adapted to ethanol fermentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–Complaisance to genetic modifications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Z. mobilis</em></td>
<td>–Bioethanol yield up to 97%</td>
<td>–Not able to ferment xylose sugars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–High ethanol tolerance (up to 14% v/v)</td>
<td>–Low tolerance to inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–Does not require additional oxygen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–Complaisance to genetic modification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>–Ability to use both pentose and hexose sugars</td>
<td>–Low tolerance to inhibitors and ethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–Amenability for genetic modifications</td>
<td>–Narrow pH and temperature growth range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermophilic species:</td>
<td>–Resistance to high temperature of 70°C</td>
<td>–Production of organic acids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; <em>Thermoanaerobacter</em></td>
<td>–Suitable for consolidated bioprocessing</td>
<td>–Low tolerance to ethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; <em>Clostridium</em></td>
<td>–Ferment a variety of sugars</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–Amenability to genetic modification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consuming high amounts of CO₂ during their growth which makes them very attractive to use as an environmental friendly feedstock.

3.2. Lignocellulosic molecular components

The main components of lignocellulosic biomass are cellulose (30–35%), hemicellulose (25–30%) and lignin (10–20%). In addition, lignocellulose contains protein, lipids, water and other items [73, 74, 75, 76]. Cellulosic and hemicellulosic polymers constitute approximately 70% of the entire biomass and are connected to the lignin component through a variety of covalent bonds that give the lignocellulosic biomass significant robustness and resistance to (bio-)chemical or physical treatment [77, 78].

3.2.1. Hemicellulose

Hemicellulose has a vague and changeable structure of heteropolymers including hexoses (glucose, galactose, mannose), pentoses (xylose, arabinose) as well as sugar/uronic acids (glucuronic, galacturonic, methylgalacturonic) [79]. The hemicellulosic chain consists of xylose (90%) and arabinose (10%). Xylan is the primary component of hemicellulose and its composition varies in each feedstock. For this reason, hemicellulose stands in need of wide variety of enzymes to be completely hydrolyzed into free monomers [80, 81, 82].

3.2.2. Cellulose

Cellulose is a linear polymer which contains several thousand of 1,4-b-glucosidic bonds connecting thousands of glucose units. The structure is crystallic because of the hydrogen bridges between the polymers. This large amount of hydrogen linkages provides toughness and compactness to the cellulose molecule. Deguchi et al. [83] refer that for the conversion of cellulosic crystalline to an amorphous structure, a temperature of 320°C and a pressure of 25 MPa is required. Cellulose is the rifest organic polymer on earth and make up 30% of plant biomass. However, cotton consists of almost 100% cellulose [84].

3.2.3. Lignin

Lignin is a complex polymer coupled via covalent bonds to xyans rendering massiveness and stability to the plant cell wall. It contains three main monomers, coumaryl alcohol, coniferyl alcohol, and sinapyl alcohol [75]. Lignin is a copious natural polymer and a dominant constituent of wood (30–60% for softwoods and 30–55% for hardwoods), while agricultural residues and grasses contain 3–15% and 10–30% respectively [63]. Contrarily, crop residues like corn stover, rice and wheat straws contain particularly hemicellulose. Heretofore, lignin effects on hydrolysis have partially been investigated, even though in recent studies it is reported that lignin characteristics, such as structure and composition, can positively contribute to the whole hydrolysis.
Chen et al. [86] pointed out that lignin modification via genetic engineering techniques could increase the bioethanol yield and furthermore to be a potential source to give biorefineries financial solvency [86].

4. Processing routes to bioethanol

There are two different approaches (i.e. biochemical and thermochemical conversion) for bioethanol production from biomass [87]. Both pathways conclude into fragments of lignin, hemicellulose and cellulose via degradation of lignocellulose. Polysaccharides are hydrolyzed into sugars and subsequently are converted into bioethanol [88,89]. However, these conversion technologies are not similar techniques. Mu et al. [90] state that the thermochemical route includes feedstock gasification at 800°C with a catalytic reaction to ensue. This technology requires high level of heat and results into a synthesis gas (syngas) such as CO, H$_2$ and CO$_2$. Syngas can be chemically converted into a mixture of alcohols at 300°C using MoS$_2$ as the catalyst. Ethanol is separated from the mixture via distillation [91]. Alternatively, syngas can also be further processed into ethanol using the microorganism Clostridium ljungdahlii, Saccharomyces cerevisiae or Zymomonas mobilis [92,93,94].

In contrast to the thermochemical pathway towards syngas, the biochemical route includes mild physical and/or thermochemical pre-treatment, and biological pretreatment using hydrolytic enzymes to degrade cellulose and hemicellulose. The physical and/or thermochemical pretreatment is mainly used to overwhelm contumacious substances and boost cellulose availability/accessibility to cellulas and hemicellulas in the biological pretreatment to produce the monomeric sugars [96,98] (Fig. 3).

The upstream process includes hydrolysis of cellulose and breakdown of hemicellulose into soluble sugars. Afterwards the sugars are converted into bioethanol via fermentation and pure ethanol is produced via distillation [88,97]. Contemporaneously, the recalcitrant by-product, lignin, can be combusted and converted into power and heat [89]. In general, biochemical conversion consists of four unit operations i.e. pretreatment, hydrolysis, fermentation and distillation [99,100]. Nowadays, the biochemical approach is the most commonly used process [101].

4.1. Pretreatment

Hydrolysis and downstream processing can be optimized by effective pretreatment. The basic treatment methods include physical and thermochemical processes which disrupt the recalcitrant materials and enable the cellulose to undergo hydrolysis with higher efficiency and lower energy consumption [102]. The pretreatment process required for each feedstock was chosen according to its characteristics. Zhu and Pan [103] reported that agricultural biomass treatment differs from woody biomass because of its physical properties and chemical composition. Unlike agricultural biomass, woody biomass requires high content of energy to reach size reduction for further enzymatic saccharification.

Toxic compounds have also to be considered for evaluating the pretreatment cost. Different substances may act as inhibitors of microorganisms that are used in the ethanol fermentation. These inhibitors include phenolic compounds, furans (furfurals and 5-HMF),

![Fig. 3. Schematic of a biochemical cellulosic ethanol production process. Adopted from the source [95].](image3)

![Fig. 4. Mechanism of acid-catalyzed cellulose hydrolysis to glucose. HMF = hydroxymethylfurfural, LA = levulinic acid, FA = formic acid [113,114].](image4)
aliphatic acids and inorganics compounds (iron, chromium or nickel). Several alternative measures can be taken to avoid problems caused by inhibitors [104]. The detoxification process is an important step which can affect the pretreatment performance [103,105,106]. General feedstock versatility and toxic inhibitors produced have to be considered on the pretreatment efficiency in order to reach optimal conditions [107].

4.2. Hydrolysis

The performance of the hydrolysis is highly associated to the pretreatment process [80]. During this reaction, cellulose and hemicellulose are hydrolysed into simplistic and soluble compounds available for further conversion (fermentation) to ethanol [88]. There are two different types of hydrolysis processes that involve either acidic (sulfuric acid) or enzymatic reactions. The acidic reaction can be divided into dilute or concentrated acid hydrolysis. Dilute hydrolysis requires a high temperature of 200–240°C to disrupt cellulose crystals [108]. On the other side, concentrated acid hydrolysis is a more effective method as it produces higher amount of free sugars (80%) and lower concentrations of inhibitors. However, this process requires high quantity of acid which makes it usage less attractive [109,110].

When acids are used in the hydrolysis, the phenomenon of chemical dehydration occurs on monosaccharides resulting in the appearance of other compounds like aldehydes [20]. This specific issue has driven the researcher to focus on enzymatic hydrolysis. Compelling pretreatment is fundamental to an efficient enzymatic hydrolysis [111]. Eggeman and Elander [112] have demonstrated that Trichoderma reesei is a very efficient fungus to produce industrial grade cellulolytic enzymes. Recent studies proved that lignin is a source of sustainable energy and added-value compounds. The application of metal components like Ca(II) and Mg(II) could intensify the enzymatic hydrolysis [112,115] (Figs. 4 and 5).

Sewalt et al. [117] have reported that the unfavorable influence of lignin on cellulases activities can be surpassed by ammonium and N-based components. Spindler et al. [118] report that the enzymatic pretreatment can be attained in simultaneous way with the co-fermentation (known as simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (SSF)) process in order to produce ethanol from woody biomass. In SSF process the concentration of saccharides is kept low and cellulose inhibition is deterred. In a separate hydrolysis and fermentation (SHF) process cellulases (hydrolytic enzymes) are inhibited by glucose and cellobiose (saccharide products) resulting in a slower process and a lower yield of fermentable sugars [119].

4.3. Fermentation

Fermentation is the following step and requires the presence of microorganisms to degrade sugars into alcohols and other end products. The previously described processes are fundamental for the
fermentation process [88,89]. Typically S. cerevisiae converts the sugars into ethanol under anaerobic conditions at a temperature of 30°C. In this pathway other by-products are also generated in the form of CO₂ and N-based compounds. S. cerevisiae is a prevalent microorganism and provides a high yield of ethanol (12.0-17.0% w/v; 90% of the theoretical yield) from sugars [119,120].

The SHF is the traditional method for bioethanol production. Several studies have reported the weakness of S. cerevisiae to ferment only hexose sugars and the interest for versatile-acting microorganisms increased [121]. To date, extensive research has been conducted to develop microorganisms which enable to i) ferment pentose and hexose sugars synchronously available from the hemicellulose fraction and ii) endure under inhibitory conditions. Recently, research attention focuses on efficient techniques like SSF in order to establish a consolidated bioprocessing so that hydrolysis and fermentation occur in a single reactor. This leads to a reduction in costs and avoidance of high amount of inhibitory compounds. While there is a wide variety of microorganisms which are able to convert sugars to ethanol as well as the use of one microorganism seems promising for efficient fermentation, their limitation from the standpoint of ethanol yield, tolerance to chemical inhibitors and temperature is still obvious in many demonstrated projects [85] (Table 3).

The end-product from fermentation process is a mixture of ethanol–water and requires further separation through a distillation process. Fractional distillation is a very common process to separate ethanol from water based on their different volatilities. The distillation column is heated and on the top of the column the distillate (bioethanol) is collected as it has lower boiling point (78.3°C) whereas water's boiling point is (100°C). However, the concentration of the ethanol distillate is about 92% and further dehydration is required to obtain 99% ethanol [25].

5. Recent issues in bioethanol production

5.1. Is recalcitrance of biomass a barrier?

Although lignocellulosic biomass is a promising feedstock for biorefineries, its recalcitrant structure and complexity make up an economic and technical constraint to lignocellulosic-based biofuel production. The three constituents of biomass (cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin) enhance its compactness and strength. There are strong linkages between molecules resulting in a complex structure of lignocellulosic material. As a consequence, it is necessary to use specific enzymes as a pre-treatment for fermentation [122]. Moreover, there are other materials which are inhibitory, such as xylose, and must be removed in order to prevent any negative influence to enzymatic hydrolysis [123,124]. Recent studies have indicated that bioconversion efficiency is related to the pretreatment performance [103]. For instance, the recent SPORL treatment technology is of great interest for its broad on acting in different types of woody materials [126,127]. Zhu et al. [128] reported that SPORL technology is effective for softwoods (e.g., spruce and red pine) and capable to solve problems concerning their poor digestibility in enzymatic saccharification. The SPORL was effective even when it was applied to directly pretreat wood chips without chip impregnation. Generally, each feedstock has different characteristics and for this reason the pretreatment process has to be chosen carefully [125].

The recalcitrance issue still remains a technical constraint that has to be eliminated. This problem is not current but is concerned to the evolution mechanism of natural plants which have developed those mechanisms to resist and avoid the attack of insects on theirs sugars. In general this ‘natural’ recalcitrance of plants makes up an impediment for the transformation of lignocellulosic biomass into fermentable sugars. For this reason, research development has been focused on sugars capture by re-engineering (genetic techniques applied in cell wall structure) in order to increase the sugar yields following by enzymatic hydrolysis. The use of such approaches may promote and accelerate the future use of lignocellulosic feedstocks for the bioethanol industry [129].

5.2. Sustainable balance of water-biofuels

Water consumption in sustainable biorefineries is a crucial issue considering the industrial and agricultural practices implemented to date [130]. Although water resources are not constraint for countries such United States, Canada and Brazil, for other countries like China and India water availability is a crucial issue which project investments have to be encountered [131,132]. In United States, the production of energy feedstocks and fuels requires substantial water input. So far, bioethanol from lignocellulosic resources is produced in laboratory and pilot scale.

The Argonne National Laboratory refers that the water requirements for lignocellulosic ethanol production vary with technology and invokes that nearly 35 l of water required to produce biochemically 3.5 l of cellulose ethanol [133,134]. The U.S. National Academy of Science (NAS) has reported that the overuse of water via the expansion of energy crops makes up serious problem. Even the biorefineries consume a specific amount of water, the main problem is concerned with the water used for cultivation [135,136]. Hufker [137] states that significant steps are required and must include best available techniques (BATs) (for instance recycling) for sustainable use of water.

5.3. Gap between biotech research and commercialization

Bioethanol production from lignocellulosic biomass at large scale has not yet been demonstrated as an economically feasible option. Research efforts have to focus on second generation (cellulose-based) bioethanol because it has potential to be improved. A wide variety of technical problems occur in the different steps of bioethanol processing from pretreatment to the final separation of the ethanol–water mixture. Further development has to be carried out in order to mature and consequently to industrialize the second-generation-based production technologies. However, the comprehension of the interconnection between science and applied technology is crucial to identify the voids and rifts of research-industry system, so that through an overall analysis the socio-economical, technical and environmental aspects can be determined [138].

However, in order to reduce the cost of bioethanol production, it is necessary to clarify the important technological steps (i.e. enzyme development: activity, stability and production costs). Many companies are developing enzymes to increase the range of applications and the performance of the enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose and hemicellulose. The hydrolysis n may involve the application of micro-organisms (fungi, yeast, bacteria) and/or enzymes. The choice of micro-organisms and/or catalysts has to be made in terms of type and quantity as this has an impact on conversion rates and process stability. However, the use of enzymes and microorganisms increases the production cost of lignocellulosic ethanol. Further research has to be conducted in the area of microorganisms and enzymes to increase the conversion efficiencies, decrease the cost of microorganisms and enzymes to positively contribute to profitable lignocellulosic-based ethanol production plants [139].

5.4. Bioethanol-based economy

Bioethanol economy is based on different factors like feedstock availability, bioprocessing technology efficiency, and end-products characteristics. There is a wide variety of sources (corn starch, sugar cane lignocellulosic biomass, etc.) with low cost and high availability which can be used for bioethanol. Research & Development communities have to focus on the development of cheap and efficient bioconversion technology of solid cellulosic materials into bioethanol
as a feasible industrialized technology in order to be considered economically attractive.

Furthermore, significant initiatives like the registration of cellulose bioethanol for sale and use under the RFS eliminate the gap between research and commercialization. Blenders and refiners of transportation fuels are obligated under the RFS to include certain percentages of renewable fuels in their total fuel sales. Industry ensures that since cellulose bioethanol technology is ready for commercialization. The production of bioethanol could require the reached levels to be economically viable from the demand caused by the RFS. Both lawmakers and industry expect that the creation of a guaranteed market as federal programs such as grants, loans, and tax incentives boost the market introduction of this fuel [140,141].

However, the lignocellulosic-base ethanol is not yet widely demonstrated because of its high costs [142]. In addition, efforts have to be continued and studies to be carried out to optimize the efficiency of the existing process technology from the pretreatment to the dehydration [143]. There are margins for further development and combination (i.e. consolidated bioprocessing) of these pilot technologies in order to achieve higher bioethanol yields. Especially processes based on enzyme technology have high cost and for this reason have to be improved [144]. Bioethanol production plays a key role on bio-based economy as there are strategic perspectives for global producers, mainly US and Europe, especially when the price of oil is reduced.

6. Conclusion

In the next decades, biomass will be the most meaningful renewable energy source as an alternative to fossil fuels. Lignocellulosic bioethanol is a potential pathway for the global producers which provide renewable fuels. Bioethanol production will be probably the most successful biofuel because it has plenty of usable forms (heat, power, electricity or vehicle fuel). Different feedstocks can be used in bioethanol production and studies have focused on their characteristics. The benefits anticipated from mandated use of cellulose biofuels include energy security through domestic production of transportation fuel and environmental improvement through the reduction of greenhouse gas and other particulate emissions associated with fossil fuel combustion. Additional benefits include creating new markets for agricultural products, keeping productive farmland in use, and improving trade balances. The main steps leading to the end-user product (bioethanol) are pretreatment, hydrolysis, fermentation and separation/distillation. High costs are to be given for all four major steps so that the bioconversion will be optimized and the ethanol yield increased. In the USA and Europe, previous and planned research initiatives and efforts are still funded by federal sources. Also significant research funding exists through various companies which are making investments in applied research that addresses topics concerning the genetics of energy crops, the production of stable and active hydrolytic enzymes, the further development of yeast and bacterial ethanol fermentation systems. Even though technological advances and research efforts are still progressing, multiple configurations of systems and techniques are developed in order to design efficient, sustainable and economically feasible bioethanol production technologies and confront issues concerning the feedstocks and operations costs.
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