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Chapter 7

Abstract

PET with \( L-[1^{11}C]\)-tyrosine (TYR) was investigated in patients undergoing hyperthermic isolated limb perfusion (HILP) with recombinant tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF) and melphalan for locally advanced soft-tissue sarcoma and skin cancer of the lower limb. Seventeen patients (5 women, 12 men; age range 24-75 y; mean age 52 y) were studied. TYR-PET studies were performed before HILP, and 2 and 8 weeks afterwards. The protein synthesis rates (PSR) in nanomoles per milliliter per minute were calculated. After the final PET study, the tumor was resected and pathologically examined. Patients with a pathologically complete response (pCR) showed no viable tumor after treatment. Those with a pathologically partial response (pPR) showed various amounts of viable tumor in the resected tumor specimens. Six patients showed a pCR (35%) and 11 patients showed a pPR (65%). All tumors were depicted as a hot spot on the PET study before HILP. The PSR in the pCR group at 2 and 8 weeks after perfusion had decreased significantly (\( p<0.05 \)) compared to the PSR before HILP. A significant difference was found in PSR between the pCR and pPR group at 2 as well as at 8 week (\( p<0.05 \)). Median PSR in nonviable tumor tissue was 0.62 and ranged from 0.22 to 0.91. With a threshold PSR of 0.91, sensitivity and specificity of TYR-PET were 82% and 100%, respectively. The predictive value of a PSR > 0.91 for having viable tumor tissue after HILP was 100%, whereas the predictive value of a PSR \( \leq 0.91 \) for having nonviable tumor tissue after HILP was 75%. On pathological examination the 2 patients in the pPR group with a PSR < 0.91 showed microscopic islets of tumor cells surrounded by extensive necrosis. Based on the calculated PSR after HILP, TYR-PET gave a good indication of the pathological outcome. Inflammatory tissue after treatment did not interfere with viable tumor tissue on the images, suggesting that it may be worthwhile to pursue TYR-PET in other therapy evaluation settings.

Introduction

Different metabolic processes such as glycolysis, protein synthesis, uptake of disaccharides and transamination, are enhanced in tumors when compared to normal tissues. PET enables visualization and quantification of metabolic processes in vivo. \(^{18}\)Fluorine-labeled 2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG) is the most commonly used radiopharmaceutical for PET and has proven to be of value to visualize various types of solid tumors, to indicate the malignancy grade and to detect locally recurrent disease.\(^{1-4}\) Various clinical reports suggest the feasibility of FDG-PET to assess tumor response to radiotherapy and chemotherapy.\(^{5-7}\) A limitation of FDG-PET in therapy evaluation is the inability to differentiate between viable tumor tissue and inflammatory tissue.\(^{8-10}\) Therefore, there is a need for alternatives. Ishiwata et al.\(^ {11}\)
have shown that the uptake of amino acids is high in tumor tissue due to an increased protein synthesis rate (PSR). Amino acids play a minor role in the metabolism of inflammatory cells, mainly neutrophils, compared to FDG. Most amino acid PET studies have been performed with L-[methyl-\(^{11}\text{C}\)]-methionine (MET).\(^{12-14}\) MET reflects amino acid uptake rather than protein synthesis because it is involved in other metabolic pathways such as transmethylation and polyamine synthesis.\(^{15,16}\) This complicated metabolism of methionine has made it impossible to create a precise metabolic model. Carboxyl-labeled amino acids, such as L-\([\text{1-}^{11}\text{C}\]-tyrosine (TYR), L-\([\text{1-}^{11}\text{C}\]-methionine and L-\([\text{1-}^{11}\text{C}\]-leucine, appear to be more appropriate compounds to determine protein synthesis in tumors.\(^{16,17}\) The main metabolite of these amino acids is \(^{11}\text{CO}_2\), which is rapidly cleared from tissue and exhaled and does not contribute to the PET-measured \(^{11}\text{C}\) radioactivity in tumor tissue.

A model was developed to determine the PSR in tumor tissue using TYR.\(^{18}\) Initial results in patients with brain tumors have been published recently.\(^{19}\) Kole et al.\(^{20}\) reported a high uptake of TYR and, as a consequence, a high PSR in various types of malignancies and low uptake in benign lesions. PET with TYR may be of value in the assessment of the response of a malignant tumor to therapy, because a decrease in tissue viability may result in a decrease in PSR.

Hyperthermic isolated limb perfusion (HILP) with recombinant tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF) and melphalan can usually prevent amputation in patients with locally advanced soft-tissue sarcoma or extensive local regional melanoma.\(^{21,22}\) The aim of this study was to investigate PET with TYR in patients undergoing HILP for locally advanced soft-tissue sarcoma and skin cancer and to correlate PET findings with histology before and after treatment.

**Materials and methods**

**Patients**

Seventeen patients (5 women, 12 men; aged 24-75 yrs; mean age 52 yrs) with biopsy-proven soft-tissue sarcoma or melanoma participated in the study approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the institute. Informed consent was obtained from each patient. Ten patients presented with a newly diagnosed soft-tissue sarcoma, 2 patients presented with a local recurrence of a soft-tissue sarcoma previously treated with surgery alone, 4 patients presented with a melanoma and 1 patient presented with a squamous cell carcinoma. All tumors were located in the lower limb. The diagnosis of the tumors was determined in a standard fashion and soft-tissue sarcomas were graded according to Coindre et al.\(^{23}\) All tumors were considered primarily irresectable because of size, multicentricity in the limb, or fixation to the neurovascular bundle or bone. Median tumor size was 10 cm (range 3-25 cm). Patients and tumor
characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Methods
The perfusion technique used at the Groningen University Hospital is based on the technique developed by Creech et al.\textsuperscript{24} and has been described in detail previously.\textsuperscript{22} Briefly, after cannulation of the vessels of the perfused limb, a tourniquet is placed at the base to prevent systemic leakage. The limb is perfused with 4 mg TNF (Boehringer, Ingelheim, Germany) administered directly intra-arterially, followed 30 min later by 10 mg/L volume melphalan (Burroughs Wellcome, London, England). Perfusion is carried out for 90 min under hyperthermic conditions (39-40°C). Preventive measures to cope with the expected side effects caused by leakage consist of fluid loading and administration of vasoactive amines. After HILP, patients are mechanically ventilated until they are hemodynamically stable and receive intensive care management as described by Zwaveling et al.\textsuperscript{25} Approximately 8 weeks after perfusion (median 66

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pat. no.</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Number of lesions</th>
<th>Largest diameter (MRI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>Recurrent</td>
<td>Lower leg</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Squamous cell carcinoma</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Foot</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Clear cell carcinoma</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Lower leg</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>Recurrent</td>
<td>Lower leg</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Leiomyosarcoma</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Lower leg</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Lower leg</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Synoviosarcoma</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Popliteal fossa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fibrosarcoma</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Synoviosarcoma</td>
<td>Recurrent</td>
<td>Lower leg</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Haemangiopericytoma</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Popliteal fossa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Malignant fibrous histiocytoma</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Thigh</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Angiosarcoma</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Lower leg</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Extra-osseous osteosarcoma</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Thigh</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Myxoid liposarcoma</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Thigh</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Myxoid liposarcoma</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Popliteal fossa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Malignant fibrous histiocytoma</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Thigh</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Toe</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.a. = not applicable
days, range 27-125 days) the residual tumor masses were excised and pathologically examined. The tumor remnants were measured in three dimensions and the percentage of necrosis estimated. Representative tumor sections were taken, encompassing macroscopically different tumor areas including necrosis. As a general rule, one section per centimeter largest diameter with a minimum of three was taken. Based on an integration of gross and microscopic findings, a final estimate of the percentages of viable and necrotic or regressive tumor was made. If possible, macroscopic examination and tissue sampling was performed based on the latest PET images. The results were classified as either pathologically complete response (pCR) or pathologically partial response (pPR), when remaining viable tumor was noted.

**PET imaging**

Patients were scheduled for three PET studies: shortly before perfusion (n = 17, median 10 days, range 1 - 23 days), 2 weeks after perfusion (n = 14, median 16 days, range 12 - 23 days) and shortly before resection of residual tumor tissue (n = 15, median 55 days, range 47 - 68 days after perfusion). TYR was produced by a modified microwave-induced Bücherer-Strecker synthesis26, with a radiochemical purity of more than 99%. PET sessions were performed using an ECAT 951/31 PET camera (Siemens/CTI, Knoxville, USA).

All patients fasted for at least 8 hours before the investigation. Serum tyrosine levels were measured before each PET session and were found to be normal (mean 0.053 mmol/L, range 0.028 - 0.1 mmol/L). A 20-gauge needle was inserted into the radial artery under local anesthesia. In the contralateral arm, an intravenous canula was inserted in the cephalic vein for the injection of TYR. The patients were positioned supine in the camera, with the tumor in the field of view based on physical examination.

After attenuation scanning using a $^{68}$Ge/$^{68}$Ga source, we administered a mean dose of 322 MBq (range 126 - 381 MBq) TYR intravenously over 1 min. Dynamic images were acquired from the time of injection following a dynamic protocol (ten 0.5 min, three 5 min, three 10 min) for a total duration of 50 min. Simultaneously, 2-ml blood samples were taken from the arterial canula (time points 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.25, 1.5, 1.75, 2.25, 2.45, 3.75, 4.75, 7.5, 12.5, 17.5, 25, 35, and 45 min postinjection). The blood samples were centrifuged and plasma activity of TYR, the $^{11}$C-labeled CO$_2$ and protein levels were measured by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The duration of the imaging procedure was approximately 2.5 h.
Data analysis
Images were displayed in coronal, sagittal and transaxial projections on a computer display using standard ECAT software and were interpreted independently by two experienced physicians. To determine tumor PSR, one must first define the tumor in all relevant tomographic planes of the study. Usually this is done by placing regions of interest (ROIs) in each plane, matching the size of the tumor as outlined by MRI. The tissue time-activity curves obtained from these ROIs can be averaged and the average PSR can be calculated. Because this technique is rather laborious, an alternative method was developed at our institute. By using the same activity threshold as the one used to define the ROI, we selected all voxels in the study above this threshold. For each analysis, a fixed percentage of 95% was used. The corresponding activity was summed and the average time-activity curve and the total volume were obtained. The advantage of this approach is that the analysis of the whole tumor is performed quickly and simply and the results are identical to those of the ROI method. Parts of the tumor that do not accumulate TYR are ignored by this method. By combining this averaged time-activity data with the plasma input data (corrected for $^{11}$CO$_2$ and $^{11}$C-proteins), we calculated the average PSR in nanomoles per milliliter tumor tissue per minute using the modified Patlak analysis as described previously. The PSR in contralateral normal tissue was calculated using a ROI technique. A tumor-to-nontumor ratio (T/N ratio) was calculated from the PSR in tumor tissue and the PSR in contralateral normal tissue. The change in PSR after perfusion was related to the preperfusional value and was expressed as a percentage of basal value.

Statistical analysis
The statistical procedures included a two-factor experiment with repeated measures on one factor to compare PSR between measures and groups. Analyses were performed on data sets corrected for missing data according to Winer. Post hoc comparison was made with Student’s t-tests. A p value < 0.05 was considered significant. Analysis was carried out by SPSS.

Results
PET results and pathological response for each patient are summarized in Table 2. Pathological examination of the residual tumor mass showed no viable tumor in 6 patients (pCR 35%), 3 of whom had a melanoma. In 11 patients, variable amounts of viable tumor were found at pathological examination (pPR 65%). Forty-six of the scheduled 51 PET studies were completed (90%). Five PET studies were not performed due to patient-related problems. All tumors were depicted as a hot spot on the PET study before HILP with variable degrees of TYR accumulating parts (T/N
Table 2: PET results and pathological response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein synthesis rate (nmol/ml/min)</th>
<th>Pathological evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Viable</td>
<td>8 weeks after HILP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor</td>
<td>2 weeks after HILP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat. no.</td>
<td>2 weeks after HILP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contralat normal tissue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscopic islets of viable tumor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory tissue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/N ratio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.45</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contralat = contralateral normal tissue; pCR = pathologically complete response; pPR = pathologically partial response; n.p. = not performed.
ratio > 1.00 in all patients). Preperfusion PSR in the patients who ultimately went on to have a pCR was not significantly different from the PSR in the pPR group (Fig. 1). Analysis of the PET images at 2 and 8 weeks after perfusion showed a decrease of TYR accumulating parts in all pCR patients.

![Fig. 1](image)

**Fig. 1** Protein synthesis rate (PSR) of tumor with S.D. before, 2 and 8 weeks after perfusion. Two and 8 weeks after perfusion, PSR in pathologically complete response (pCR) group decreased significantly (p<0.05) in contrast with PSR in pathologically partial response (pPR) group. Significant difference was found in PSR between the pCR and pPR groups at 2 as well as at 8 weeks (p<0.05). HILP = hyperthermic isolated limb perfusion

The PSR in the pCR group had decreased significantly at 2 and 8 weeks after perfusion compared with preperfusion values (p<0.05) in contrast to the PSR in the pPR group. A significant difference was found in PSR between the pCR and pPR group at 2 as well as at 8 weeks (p<0.05). The most substantial decrease in PSR occurred within 2 weeks after perfusion. Figure 2 illustrates the succeeding PET studies in patient 11. After an initial decrease in PSR at 2 wk, a renewed outgrow of the tumor was observed at 8 weeks after perfusion. Necrosis within the tumor was visualized as a cold spot. In this patient, TYR-PET indicated the need for an early resection of the tumor as perfusion did not seem to have the desired result. Pathological examination revealed areas of viable tumor that encompassed less than 10% of the total tumor volume.

The median PSR in contralateral muscle tissue was 0.28 and ranged from 0.08 to 0.60. PSR in tumor tissue was higher than in the corresponding contralateral normal tissue (p<0.05). Median PSR in nonviable tumor tissue was 0.62 and ranged from 0.22 to 0.91. With a threshold PSR of 0.91, the highest value obtained from nonviable tumor tissue, the sensitivity and specificity of TYR-PET after HILP treatment were 82% and 100%, respectively. The predictive value of a PSR > 0.91 for having viable tumor after HILP was 100%, whereas the predictive value of a PSR ≤ 0.91 for having nonviable tumor tissue after HILP was 75% (Fig. 3). The two patients in the pPR group with a PSR < 0.91, patients 8 and 9, showed microscopic islets of tumor cells surrounded by extensive necrosis on pathological examination. With a threshold PSR of 0.48, the lowest value obtained from viable tumor tissue, the sensitivity and
specificity of TYR-PET after HILP treatment were 100% and 33%, respectively. The predictive values for viable and nonviable tumor tissue after HILP were 73% and 100%, respectively.

Figure 4 shows the percentage of basal value of the tumor after perfusion. All patients in the pCR group showed a reduction of PSR whereas some pPR patients showed a reduction and others an increase in PSR after perfusion. The reduction in the pCR group was significant at 2 and 8 weeks after perfusion. However, based on a certain percentage of reduction of basal value, no assumption could be made as to whether or not the individual patient showed a pCR or a pPR.

Two different histopathological groups could be distinguished after perfusion: nonviable tumor tissue, corresponding with inflammatory tissue, and viable tumor tissue. Figure 5 shows the PSR in these two different histopathological groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PA viable</th>
<th>PA Nonviable</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PET Viable</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET Nonviable</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Cross tabulation table with threshold PSR of 0.91. Sensitivity and specificity of TYR-PET were 82% and 100%, respectively. Predictive value for viable tumor after HILP was 100%, whereas predictive value for nonviable tumor tissue after HILP was 75%. Two patients in the pPR group with PSR<0.91, showed microscopic islets of tumor cells surrounded by extensive necrosis on pathological examination. PA = pathology.
compared with the PSR in normal contralateral muscle. The average PSR in inflammatory tissue was significantly lower than the PSR values in viable tumor tissue (p<0.05).

**Discussion**

PET has made it possible to study biochemical changes of cancer tissue and to study the effect of treatment on metabolism in vivo. This study demonstrates a significant decline in the protein metabolism of locally advanced soft-tissue sarcomas and skin cancer with a pathologically complete response after HILP with TNF and melphalan. These changes were already evident within 2 weeks. In patients with a pPR, this decrease was not significant. These findings are similar to the results of a previous study performed in the same manner with FDG. With TYR-PET, however, there was a significant difference at 2 and at 8 weeks in PSR between the pCR and pPR groups, a finding that was not observed with FDG-PET. That previous finding may have been caused by the fact that FDG is also accumulated by inflammatory tissue, resulting in an overlap in glucose metabolism between viable tumor and inflammatory tissue. In this study, TYR was also accumulated by inflammatory tissue that existed
after HILP but was accumulated significantly less than in viable tumor tissue. Because TYR can better discriminate between viable tumor tissue and inflammatory tissue than FDG, TYR is a more reliable technique to evaluate treatment response. The major question for clinicians is the exact timing for the surgical resection after HILP because the tumoricidal effect of HILP seems to be time related. If the threshold PSR of 0.91 was exceeded 8 weeks after HILP, we could with certainty predict that viable tumor was still present and surgical resection of the tumor remnants was indicated. However, when resection was omitted with a PSR less than 0.91, there was a 25% chance of leaving microscopic islets of tumor tissue. The resolution of the PET camera may be the limiting factor in detecting these microscopic islets of viable tumor, although it remains questionable if these small amounts of tumor tissue surrounded by avascular necrosis can lead to a local recurrence. Instead of surgical resection, these patients could possibly also be treated with external beam radiotherapy, and monitored closely for development of a local recurrence. Leaving residual tumor mass was safe when PSR was lower than 0.48 after HILP.

Before perfusion, there was no significant difference in PSR between the patients in the pCR and pPR group. This was in contrast with the results of the FDG study where we found a significant difference in glucose consumption before perfusion between both groups. So TYR can not be used to predict the likelihood of a response to HILP. For FDG we also found a correlation between tumor malignancy grade and the level of glucose metabolism. This is not the case for the protein synthesis of the different grades of soft-tissue sarcomas in this study but the number of patients is small. This difference between FDG and TYR may be explained by the fact that FDG is trapped inside the cell as a result of an increased level of glucose transporters on the cell membrane. FDG accumulates as it reaches its end in its metabolic pathway as FDG-6-phosphate; the more glucose transports there are on the cell membrane, the more FDG is incorporated in the cell, corresponding with a high malignancy grade. TYR, not hampered by an anorganic isotope, continues its metabolic pathway and is not accumulated in the cell.

Combining the results of this study with the results of our previous FDG study, it is tempting to state that FDG-PET should be performed before HILP to identify patients who will most likely benefit from this treatment and TYR-PET should be performed 8 weeks after HILP to evaluate the outcome of the therapy. However, our results should be interpreted with caution since this patient population is a small group of heterogeneous soft-tissue sarcomas and skin cancers and only large tumors were included. Additional data are needed on TYR-PET in more patients with other tumor types treated with other chemotherapeutic agents and pathological examination as the gold standard.
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Conclusion
This study demonstrates that TYR-PET indicates the pathologic tumor response to chemotherapy in an investigational setting used with HILP with TNF and melphalan for locally advanced tumors. Based on the calculated PSR after perfusion, a good indication was found towards the pathological outcome. Inflammatory tissue after treatment did not interfere with viable tumor on the images, suggesting that it may be worthwhile to pursue TYR-PET in other therapy evaluation settings.
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